DUDLEY KNOX LIBRABY NAVAL PCT CBODDE FI SCHOOL MONTEREY, GALIFORNIA 93943-8008



## NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL Monterey, California



## THESIS

IMAGE TEXTURE GENERATION USING AUTOREGRESSIVE INTEGRATED MOVING AVERAGE (ARIMA) MODELS

by

Steven Clifford Rathmanner

March 1987

Thesis Advisor:

Charles W. Therrien

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited

T233631

# THE REPORT OF



#### UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

Monterey, California

8ª NAME OF FUNDING / SPONSORING

8c ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)

ORGANIZATION

#### **REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE** 18 REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 15 RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS UNCLASSIFIED 3 DISTRIBUTION' AVAILABILITY OF REPORT 28 SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited 26 DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE S MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) 4 PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) 60 OFFICE SYMBOL 63 NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION 78 NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION (If applicable) Naval Postgraduate School Code 62 Naval Postgraduate School 6c ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 7b ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)

PROGRAM

ELEMENT NO

Monterey, California

PROJECT

10 SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS

NO

9 PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER

TASK

NO

93943-5000

WORK UNIT

ACCESSION NO

11 TITLE (Include Security Classification) IMAGE TEXTURE GENERATION USING AUTOREGRESSIVE INTEGRATED MOVING AVERAGE (ARIMA) MODELS

93943-5000

85 OFFICE SYMBOL

(If applicable)

12 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S)

Rathmanner, Steven C.

Master's Thesis	135 TIME COVERED	14 DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day)	IS PAGE COUNT
	FROM TO	1987, March	132

'6 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION

17	COSATI	CODES	18 SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number)					
FELD	GROUP	SUB-GROUP	Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA					
			Stationary; Separable					

'9 ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) This thesis involves investigation of linear filtering models as a means of generating texture in images. Various autoregressive filter models are used to generate various textures, and the results are analyzed to determine relationships between filter parameters and texture character istics. A two-dimensional counterpart to the autoregressive integrated moving average (ARIMA) model from one-dimensional time series analysis theory is developed and tested for texture modeling applications. A11 these models are driven by white noise, and to the extent that real images can be reproduced this way, advantages in image texture transmission could Results of this work indicate that the purely autoregressive be realized. models work well for some types of image textures, but that for the textures studied the ARIMA model is not particularly suitable.

20 D STRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY O	FABSTRACT SAME AS RPT DTIC USERS	21 ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICA Unclassified	TION
223 NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVI Prof. C.W. Therrien	DUAL	(408) 646-3347	Code 6211
DD FORM 1473, 84 MAR	83 APR edition may be used un All other editions are ot 1	til exhausted <u>SECURITY C</u> ssolete UNCLAS	LASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited

Image Texture Generation Using Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA) Models

by

Steven Clifford Rathmanner Lieutenant, United'States Navy B.S., Florida State University, 1979

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE IN ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

from the

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL March 1987

#### ABSTRACT

This thesis involves investigation of linear filtering models as a means of generating texture in images. Various autoregressive filter models are used to generate various textures, and the results are analyzed to determine relationships between filter parameters and texture characteristics. A two-dimensional counterpart to the autoregressive integrated moving average (ARIMA) model from one-dimensional time series analysis theory is developed and tested for texture modeling applications. All these models are driven by white noise, and to the extent that real images can be reproduced this way, advantages in image texture transmission could be realized. Results of this work indicate that the purely autoregressive models work well for some types of image textures, but that for the textures studied the ARIMA model is not particularly suitable.

#### DISCLAIMER

5 - 2 - -

Some terms used in this thesis are registered trademarks of commercial products. Rather than attempt to cite each occurrence of a trademark, all trademarks appearing in this thesis are listed below following the name of the firm holding the trademark:

- COMTAL, Altadena, California Vision One/20
- Digital Equipment Corporation, Maynard, Massachusetts

VAX/UNIX VAX/VMS

3. International Business Machines Corporation, Armonk, New York

IBM System/370 3033

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INT	RODUCTION	7
II.	THE	AUTOREGRESSIVE IMAGE MODEL	11
	Α.	ARBITRARILY SELECTED FILTER COEFFICIENTS; P = 2, Q = 2	12
	в.	TWO POLE, SEPARABLE AUTOREGRESSIVE MODEL	17
	C.	FOUR POLE, SEPARABLE AUTOREGRESSIVE MODEL	27
		1. Complex Conjugate Poles	29
		2. Two Real Poles	35
	D.	IMAGE TEXTURE ROTATION TRANSFORMATION	40
	E.	SUMMARY	41
III.	IMP IN 7	LEMENTATION OF AN FIR SUMMATION FILTER TWO DIMENSIONS	43
IV.	APP TEX	LICATION OF THE ARIMA MODEL TO IMAGE FURES	56
	Α.	APPLICATION OF LAPLACIAN INVERSE FILTER TO AUTOREGRESSIVELY GENERATED IMAGES	56
	в.	AUTOREGRESSIVE FILTER PARAMETER ESTIMATION PROCEDURES	60
	с.	APPLICATION TO REAL IMAGE TEXTURES	61
	D.	SUMMARY	74
v.	CON	CLUSIONS	75
APPEN	DIX 2	A: COMPUTER PROGRAMS, SUBROUTINES, AND FUNCTIONS	77
APPEN	DIXI	B: DERIVATION OF THE POWER SPECTRUM AND AUTOCORRELATION FUNCTION FOR THE TWO POLE AUTOREGRESSIVE MODEL	104

APPENDIX C:	GRAPHICAL RESULTS FOR THE POWER SPEC- TRUM AND AUTOCORRELATION FUNCTION FOR THE TWO POLE AUTOREGRESSIVE MODEL	106
APPENDIX D:	DERIVATION OF THE POWER SPECTRUM AND AUTOCORRELATION FUNCTION FOR THE FOUR POLE AUTOREGRESSIVE MODEL	109
APPENDIX E:	GRAPHICAL RESULTS FOR THE POWER SPEC- TRUM AND AUTOCORRELATION FUNCTION FOR THE FOUR POLE AUTOREGRESSIVE MODEL	115
APPENDIX F:	DERIVATION OF THE POWER SPECTRUM AND AUTOCORRELATION FUNCTION FOR THE FOUR POLE AUTOREGRESSIVE MODEL (WITH TWO POLES ON THE REAL AXIS)	120
APPENDIX G:	GRAPHICAL RESULTS FOR THE POWER SPEC- TRUM AND AUTOCORRELATION FUNCTION FOR THE FOUR POLE AUTOREGRESSIVE MODEL (WITH TWO POLES ON THE REAL AXIS)	124
APPENDIX H:	LAPLACIAN INVERSE FILTER FORMS	127
APPENDIX I:	CONVOLUTION OF LAPLACIAN DIFFERENCE OPERATOR AND VARIOUS SIZE FIR INVERSE FILTERS	129
LIST OF REFERENCES		
INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST		

1

The purpose of this thesis is to investigate the types and quantity of image textures generated using a twodimensional (2-D) extension of the Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA) model. For the one-dimensional (e.g., time series) case, the theories and formulas describing this model are outlined in Box and Jenkins [Ref. 1:pp. 85-103]. The 1-D ARIMA model is useful when the time series to be modeled is not stationary but exhibits some homogeneity in the sense that, except for statistical differences between parts of the time series, these different parts of the process behave similarly. In these cases some suitable difference of the process may be stationary, and hence may be accurately modeled by an Autoregressive Moving Average (ARMA) or а purely Autoregressive (AR) model. The resulting stationary time series (generated by an appropriate ARMA or AR filter with white noise input) is applied to an integra-tion or summation filter (the inverse of the difference operation) to generate the original nonstationary time series. [Ref. 1:p. 85] Figure 1-1 shows a block diagram of this process.

This work attempts to extend these concepts to twodimensional signal processing. In order to simplify the model, the moving average (MA) portion of it will be

White Noise	Moving Average Filter		Autoregressive Filter		Summation Filter	Time Series
----------------	-----------------------------	--	--------------------------	--	---------------------	----------------

Figure 1-1 Block Diagram for the Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average Model

eliminated (i.e., no zeros in the filter Z transform) so that only purely AR models will be considered for stationary image generation. The procedures for modeling image textures using AR models with white noise input are well established [Ref. 2:pp. 454-456]. However, a suitable twodimensional difference operation and its inverse must be found to implement the concepts outlined above.

The research is divided into four areas:

- Investigation of the various types of image textures generated using AR models where filter coefficients and size are determined a) arbitrarily, b) using a two-pole separable model, and c) using a four-pole separable model. Separability refers to the fact that the Z transform of the AR filter can be factored into components representing each dimension or direction of the image.
- 2) Selection of a difference operator and a realizable inverse (integration or summation) filter.
- Application of the above autoregressively generated images to the summation filter, and evaluation of these results.
- 4) Attempted reproduction of actual images textures using AR models whose coefficients are determined using the statistics of the image, and comparison of these results to those obtained by a) applying the difference operator to the real image, b) finding the coefficients of the AR model that reproduce the difference image, and c) applying the difference image to the summation filter. This comparison was intended

to discover what improvements, if any, may be realized using the ARIMA model vice a purely ARMA (or AR) model.

The remainder of the thesis is organized as follows. Chapter II contains methods and results of investigating various autoregressive image models. Chapter III deals with the formulation, development, and testing of the twodimensional summation filter. Chapter IV contains the results of applying various AR-generated images to the summation filter and addresses the application of the ARIMA model to real image textures. Chapter V outlines conclusions on the results and applicability of the ARIMA model. Although the ARIMA modeling was not highly successful in reproducing the textures studied here, plausible reasons are given for their failure and conjectures are made about those circumstances where the model would be more successful. Appendix A provides information on the computer algorithms used to implement the equations governing the above processes. Appendices B through G contain derivations of spectral and autocorrelation equations, and the corresponding graphical results, governing the AR processes in Chapter II. Appendices H and I contain graphical results associated with the inverse filter development in Chapter III.

Image data were generated using computer programs written in FORTRAN, compiled using Version 4.5 under the VAX/VMS Version 4.4 operating system. The images were displayed on the COMTAL Vision One/20. The gray level

intensity range of pixel values is 0 (darkest) to 255 (lightest), so the image data generated had to be scaled to that range for display (see Appendix A).

#### II. THE AUTOREGRESSIVE IMAGE MODEL

A two-dimensional signal (such as an image texture) can be modeled using a two-dimensional AR model with white noise input. The governing equations in the spatial domain are of the following form [Ref. 3:pp. 325-326]:

$$y(n,m) = -\sum_{i=0}^{P-1} \sum_{j=0}^{Q-1} a_{ij}y(n-i,m-j) + w(n,m)$$
(2.1)  
(i,j) \ne (0,0)

where y(n,m) is a signal representing the generated image texture at pixel location (n,m),  $a_{ij}$  is the filter coefficient matrix, and w(n,m) is a two-dimensional white noise signal. The system function corresponding to the filter of Eq. (2.1) is given by

$$Y(z_{1}, z_{2}) = \frac{1}{1 + a_{10} z_{1}^{-1} + a_{01} z_{2}^{-1} + a_{11} z_{1}^{-1} z_{2}^{-1} + \dots + a_{p-1Q-1} z_{1}^{-(p-1)} z_{2}^{-(Q-1)}} \cdot W(z_{1}, z_{2})$$
(2.2)

where  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  are the Z transform variables corresponding to spatial coordinates n and m. Ideal white noise has an autocorrelation function that is an impulse and a flat (constant) power spectrum with magnitude corresponding to the variance of the white noise process [Ref. 4:pp. 22-26]. Therefore determination of the filter coefficients  $a_{ij}$  will define the generated image process. Procedures will be

outlined later to estimate the coefficients from real image data. At this point analytical methods will be used to select these coefficients and the resulting images will be studied. Figure 2-1 shows an example of a white noise input image.



Figure 2-1 White Noise Image

A. ARBITRARILY SELECTED FILTER COEFFICIENTS; P = 2, Q = 2

In order to get an initial idea of what types of images might be generated using a  $2 \times 2$  AR filter with white noise input, filter coefficients were at first selected arbitrarily, but subject to a stability constraint. The primary constraint on coefficient selection is that of filter stability. Using the DeCarlo-Strintzis Theorem dealing with multidimensional filter stability [Ref. 3:pp. 197-198], alternately setting  $z_1 = 1$  and  $z_2 = 1$  and determining the location of the pole in the remaining dimension will indicate whether or not the filter is stable. If the

magnitude of the pole in the remaining dimension is less than 1, the filter is stable. Even with this condition, however, there are an infinite number of possible filter coefficient combinations. The additional constraint of  $a_{10} = a_{01}$  can be used, and comparisons of results using various values of  $a_{11}$  can be made.

Figure 2-2 shows the form and directionality convention used for the autoregressive filter, along with the corresponding difference equation and its Z transform.

$$m(z_{2}) \xrightarrow{a_{01} a_{11}} y(n,m) = -\sum_{i=0}^{l} \sum_{j=0}^{l} a_{ij} \cdot y(n-i,m-j) + w(n,m)$$

$$n(z_{1}) y(n,m) = -\sum_{i=0}^{l} \sum_{j=0}^{l} a_{ij} \cdot y(n-i,m-j) + w(n,m)$$

$$Y(z_{1}, z_{2}) = H(z_{1}, z_{2}) \cdot W(z_{1}, z_{2}) = \frac{1}{1 + a_{10} z_{1}^{-1} + a_{01} z_{2}^{-1} + a_{11} z_{1}^{-1} z_{2}^{-1}} \cdot W(z_{1}, z_{2})$$

#### Figure 2-2 Autoregressive Filter Impulse Response, Difference Equation, and Z Transform

Although it is difficult to make precise predictions in two dimensions, one can expect that the sign and magnitude of  $a_{10}$  or  $a_{01}$  would influence the correlation between pixels in the corresponding directions. For example, a positive value for  $a_{10}$  might be expected to yield an image with substantial variation in the n direction (low correlation), particularly if the magnitude of  $a_{10}$  is near 1. A negative value for  $a_{01}$  with magnitude near 1 might yield an image with lower variations in pixel intensity (high correlation) in the m direction. Since the filter is not necessarily separable (i.e., the denominator of  $H(z_1, z_2)$  cannot be factored into the form  $D(z_1) \cdot D(z_2)$ ), conclusions drawn from this line of reasoning may not be completely correct.

Initial attempts at generating images with arbitrarily selected coefficients yielded rather uninteresting results having very little contrast or discernible pattern. Continued experimentation with combinations where  $a_{10} = a_{01} < 0$  eventually yielded more interesting image textures. Figures 2-3 and 2-4 show the results of using the constraint  $a_{10} = a_{01} = -0.35$  and various values of  $a_{11}$  for the filter coefficients. For positive values of a11, the images are rather "grainy," with higher magnitudes yielding a somewhat "finer" graininess. There are also some overall intensity differences observed. For the negative values of a11, the results are much more interesting. As the magnitude increases, there is a gradually more noticeable upper left to lower right orientation of the image texture, and the variations from lower left to upper right become smoother as well. Using  $a_{10} = a_{01} = -0.38$  and  $a_{11} = -0.24$ 



 $a_{10} = a_{01} = -0.35$ 

 $a_{11} = 0.8$ 



 $a_{10} = a_{01} = -0.35$ 

 $a_{11} = 0.2$ 



 $a_{10} = a_{01} = -0.35$ 

 $a_{11} = 0.5$ 



 $a_{10} = a_{01} = -0.35$ 

 $a_{11} = 0.0$ 



 $a_{10} = a_{01} = -0.35$ 

 $a_{11} = -0.2$ 



 $a_{11} = -0.1$ 

Figure 2-3 Images Generated Using Arbitrarily Selected Filter Coefficients



 $a_{10} = a_{01} = -0.35$  $a_{11} = -0.25$ 



 $a_{10} = a_{01} = -0.35$  $a_{11} = -0.27$ 





 $a_{10} = a_{01} = -0.35$  $a_{11} = -0.3$ 



### $a_{10} = a_{01} = -0.38$ $a_{11} = -0.24$

#### Figure 2-4 Images Generated Using Arbitrarily Selected Filter Coefficients

yields minor variations in texture pattern and overall image intensity when compared to the previous case.

Using  $a_{10} = a_{01} = 0.35$  and varying  $a_{11}$  from 0.0 to 0.8 (Figure 2-5), the images obtained deviate very little from the mean intensity value, and possess minor differences in graininess. With these positive coefficients some negative correlation might be expected, and the fact that these images are "grainy" indicates the existence of some negative correlation or high spatial frequency characteristics. On initial examination, however, the low contrast of the generated images tends to obscure the observed graininess.

#### B. TWO POLE, SEPARABLE AUTOREGRESSIVE MODEL

In general, it is difficult to relate the nature or properties of a two-dimensional filter to the precise nature of an image texture that may be generated when white noise is applied to that filter. In order to simplify the effort and to obtain a better understanding of the problem, the case where the filter (and resulting image texture) are separable is considered. For the two pole separable case considered here, the filter transfer function can be factored into expressions in  $z_1$  alone and  $z_2$  alone. The expressions in  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  each have one pole on the real axis in their respective Z domains. Figure 2-6 illustrates the filter structure, the corresponding difference equation, and its Z transform.

$$a_{10} = a_{01} = 0.35$$

$$a_{11} = 0.0$$

$$a_{11} = 0.2$$



 $a_{10} = a_{01} = 0.35$ 

 $a_{11} = 0.5$ 

 $a_{10} = a_{01} = 0.35$  $a_{11} = 0.8$ 

#### Figure 2-5 Images Generated Using Arbitrarily Selected Filter Coefficients

$$m(z_{2}) \xrightarrow{a_{01} a_{11}} y(n,m) = - \sum_{\substack{i=0 \ j=0 \ i=0 \ j=0}}^{1} \sum_{\substack{j=0 \ i=0 \ j=0 \ i=0 \ i=0 \ j\neq (0,0)}}^{1} w(n,m)$$

$$(a_{11} = a_{10} a_{01})$$

$$Y(z_{1}, z_{2}) = H(z_{1}, z_{2}) \cdot W(z_{1}, z_{2}) = \frac{1}{1 + a_{10} z_{1}^{-1}} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + a_{01} z_{2}^{-1}} \cdot W(z_{1}, z_{2})$$
$$= \frac{1}{1 + a_{10} z_{1}^{-1} + a_{01} z_{2}^{-1} + a_{10} \cdot a_{01} z_{1}^{-1} z_{2}^{-1}} \cdot W(z_{1}, z_{2})$$

#### Figure 2-6 Autoregressive Filter Form, Difference Equation, and Z Transform

Here it is relatively easy to relate stability of the filter to the location of the poles in the  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  planes. Since the quarter plane filter is separable and the components are causal, one-dimensional filter stability theory can be used to state that the poles in each plane must have magnitude less than 1 to ensure filter stability. Figures 2-7 through 2-9 show images resulting from this model for various values of  $a_{10}$  and  $a_{01}$ . Note that the sign convention used for the filter difference equation and Z transform results in poles on the negative side of the real axis for positive values of  $a_{10}$  or  $a_{01}$ , and vice versa.



 $a_{10} = a_{01} = 0.95$ 



 $a_{10} = a_{01} = 0.8$ 



 $a_{10} = a_{01} = 0.25$ 



 $a_{10} = a_{01} = 0.5$ 



 $a_{10} = a_{01} = 0.1$ 



 $a_{10} = a_{01} = -0.95$ 

Figure 2-7 Images Generated Using a Two-Pole Autoregressive Model



 $a_{10} = a_{01} = -0.25$ 



 $a_{10} = 0.95$   $a_{01} = 0.5$ 



 $a_{10} = 0.5 \quad a_{01} = 0.95$ 



 $a_{10} = 0.95$   $a_{01} = 0.75$ 



 $a_{10} = -0.95$   $a_{01} = 0.25$ 



 $a_{10} = -0.95$   $a_{01} = -0.25$ 

Figure 2-8 Images Generated Using a Two-Pole Autoregressive Model





 $a_{10} = -0.95$   $a_{01} = 0.75$   $a_{10} = 0.95$ 

 $a_{10} = 0.95$   $a_{01} = -0.95$ 



 $a_{10} = 0.25$   $a_{01} = -0.25$ 

Figure 2-9 Images Generated Using a Two-Pole Autoregressive Model

Careful comparison of the images resulting from various combinations of  $a_{10}$  and  $a_{01}$  leads to the following observations:

- 1) When poles are located in the same place in the  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  planes on the negative side of the real axis, magnitudes near 1 yield a fine graininess with patchy areas and low overall contrast. As the magnitude of the pole decreases, the graininess becomes more coarse and the result is more like the original white noise input. No directional quality in the image pattern is observed.
- 2) When poles are located in the same place on the positive side of the  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  real axes, a somewhat different result is observed. For magnitudes near 1, an image of patchy light and dark areas results, with differing amounts of correlation between pixels in different areas. Slightly discernible "lines" in both the horizontal and vertical directions are also observed. For lower pole magnitudes on the positive side of the real axis, the decreased effect of the filter on the white noise input is again observed. This result is more like the white noise and has more contrast than the corresponding result using poles on the negative side of the real axis.
- 3) For pole placements in the  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  planes which are on the negative side of the real axis and are of unequal magnitude, the results have a very fine graininess and low contrast. Some slight directionality is observable in the image patterns, with lower frequency variations evident in the direction corresponding to the pole with smaller magnitude.
- 4) For pole placements in the  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  planes which are on the positive side of the real axis and are of unequal magnitude, much more directionality and variation is observable in the image pattern.
- 5) As the poles are placed on opposite sides of the real axis and are separated by a greater distance, directionality becomes more evident (with higher frequency variations in the direction of the more negative pole). As the pole separation becomes greater and as the pole magnitudes become closer to 1, smoother sinusoidal variations are evident.
- 6) When the pole magnitudes are equal and have opposite sign, the image generated using pole magnitudes close

to 1 exhibits high frequency sinusoidal variations in the direction of the negative pole. The image generated with the lower magnitude poles, as would be expected from the above results, resembled the unfiltered white noise.

In order to explain these image patterns analytically, analysis of the power spectrum and autocorrelation function of this process is useful. Since this model is separable, the analysis can be conducted in each direction separately. The power spectrum is defined by [Ref. 4:pp. 24-34]:

$$S_{v}(\omega) = \sigma^{2} |H(e^{j\omega})|^{2} = \sigma^{2} H(e^{j\omega}) H(e^{-j\omega})$$
(2.3a)

where

$$H(e^{j\omega}) = H(z)|_{z=e^{j\omega}}$$
(2.3b)

and for this case

$$H(z) = \frac{1}{1 + \alpha z^{-1}}$$
(2.3c)

Here  $\sigma^2$  is the magnitude of the white noise power spectrum. We can assume that  $\sigma^2 = 1$  with no loss of generality of the results, since  $\sigma^2$  does not affect the shape of the frequency response.

The autocorrelation function is related to the filter transfer function through the equations [Ref. 5:pp. 391-395]:

$$h(n) = Z^{-1}[H(z)]$$
(2.4a)

$$y(n) = h(n) * w(n)$$
 (2.4b)

$$R_{Y}(\ell) = \sigma^{2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} h(n) \cdot h(n-\ell)$$
(2.4c)

Specific forms of the power spectrum and autocorrelation function are given in Appendix B. Since  $R_y(\ell) = R_y(-\ell)$ [Ref. 5:p. 388], calculating the expression for  $R_y(\ell)$ ,  $\ell < 0$ is not necessary. From Appendix B, the results are:

$$S_{Y}(\omega) = \frac{1}{1 + 2\alpha \cos(\omega) + \alpha^{2}}$$
(2.5)

$$R_{Y}(\ell) = \frac{(-\alpha)^{\ell}}{1-\alpha^{2}} \qquad \ell \ge 0$$
(2.6)

Appendix C shows the results of these equations graphically for various values of  $\alpha$ . The relationship between the power spectra and their corresponding autocorrelation functions conforms to the expected results from theory (i.e., low frequency spectrum with smooth autocorrelation function, and high frequency power spectrum with rapidly varying autocorrelation function) [Ref. 6:pp. 139-142]. The plots in Appendix C also demonstrate that: 1) For poles on the positive side of the real axis in the Z plane low frequencies predominate and for poles on the negative side of the real axis high frequencies predominate, and 2) Lower magnitudes of  $\alpha$  result in a broader power spectrum and a wider range of frequencies of significant magnitude. Both of these observations agree with the image results. For images generated using a more negative pole in a given direction, fine, high frequency graininess is observed in that direction (though the low contrast or low variation about the mean intensity may tend to make this effect less noticeable). When a more positive pole is used, lower frequency variations are more evident in the corresponding direction. As lower magnitudes are used for  $\alpha$  in a given direction, more random variations (indicative of a wider range of significant frequency components) are observed in that direction. The form of the autocorrelation function for these cases approaches the autocorrelation function for white noise, i.e., an impulse. Negative poles should yield high frequencies since the negative side of the real axis in the Z plane represents a digital spatial frequency of  $\pi$ , while positive poles in the Z plane correspond to a digital spatial frequency of zero. Note that even when the poles are placed such that a spatial frequency of zero should predominate, there are some low frequency random variations in the resulting images. Since the power spectra of the positive poles all contain some non-zero frequency components (they are not perfect impulses at zero), this characteristic is expected.

#### C. FOUR POLE, SEPARABLE AUTOREGRESSIVE MODEL

For the cases considered in this section, H(z) again can be factored into expressions in  $z_1$  and  $z_2$ . However here each factor is a 2nd degree polynomial with two poles in the denominator. Figure 2-10 illustrates the filter structure, the applicable difference equation, and the corresponding Z transform.

$$m(z_{2}) \qquad \begin{array}{c} h(n,m) \\ a_{02} & a_{12} & a_{22} \\ a_{01} & a_{11} & a_{21} \\ 1 & a_{10} & a_{20} \\ \hline & & & & \\ \end{array} \qquad y(n,m) = - \sum_{\substack{i=0 \ j=0 \\ i=0 \ j=0 \\ (i,j) \neq (0,0)}} a_{ij} \cdot y(n-i,m-j) + w(n,m) \\ \hline & & & \\ \end{array}$$

$$Y(z_{1}, z_{2}) = H(z_{1}, z_{2}) \cdot W(z_{1}, z_{2}) = \frac{1}{1 + a_{10}z_{1}^{-1} + a_{20}z_{1}^{-2}} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + a_{01}z_{2}^{-1} + a_{02}z_{2}^{-2}} \cdot W(z_{1}, z_{2})$$

$$= \frac{1}{1 + a_{10}z^{-1} + a_{20}z_{1}^{-2} + a_{01}z_{2}^{-1} + a_{02}z_{2}^{-2} + a_{11}z_{1}^{-1}z_{2}^{-1} + a_{21}z_{1}^{-2}z_{2}^{-1} + a_{12}z_{1}^{-1}z_{2}^{-2} + a_{22}z_{1}^{-2}z_{2}^{-2}} \cdot W(z_{1}, z_{2})$$

where

$$a_{11} = a_{10} \cdot a_{01}; a_{21} = a_{10} \cdot a_{02}; a_{12} = a_{02} \cdot a_{10}; a_{22} = a_{20} \cdot a_{02}$$

Figure 2-10 Autoregressive Filter Form, Difference Equation, and Z Transform Since all of the  $a_{ij}$  coefficients are real, the poles must 1) both be on the real axis, or 2) occur in complex conjugate pairs in the  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  planes. Again, pole magnitudes must be less than 1 to ensure filter stability. We will assume here that the poles in each of the factors have equal magnitudes and opposite (or 0 or  $\pm \pi$ ) phase. Letting

 $\alpha_1$  = magnitude of poles in the  $z_1$  plane  $\theta_1$  = pole angle (phase) in the  $z_1$  plane  $\alpha_2$  = magnitude of poles in the  $z_2$  plane  $\theta_2$  = pole angle (phase) in the  $z_2$  plane

and using Euler's relation, the denominators of  $H(z_1)$  and  $H(z_2)$  can be expressed as follows:

$$1 + a_{11}z_{1}^{-1} + a_{20}z_{1}^{-2} = (1 - \alpha_{1}e^{j\theta_{1}}z_{1}^{-1})(1 - \alpha_{1}e^{-j\theta_{1}}z_{1}^{-1}) = 1 - 2\alpha_{1}\cos(\theta_{1}) \cdot z_{1}^{-1} + \alpha_{1}^{2}z_{1}^{-2}$$

$$1 + a_{01}z_2^{-1} + a_{02}z_2^{-2} = (1 - \alpha_2 e^{j\theta_2}z_2^{-1})(1 - \alpha_2 e^{-j\theta_2}z_2^{-1}) = 1 - 2\alpha_2 \cos(\theta_2)z_2^{-1} + \alpha_2^2 z_2^{-2}$$

#### Hence:

$$a_{10} = -2\alpha_{1} \cdot \cos(\theta_{1}) \qquad a_{01} = -2\alpha_{2} \cdot \cos(\theta_{2}) a_{20} = \alpha_{1}^{2} \qquad a_{02} = \alpha_{2}^{2}$$

This gives a relationship between the pole magnitude and angle in the Z domain and the filter coefficients in the spatial domain.

#### 1. Complex Conjugate Poles

Figures 2-11 through 2-13 illustrate images generated using this model for various complex conjugate pole combinations in the  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  directions. In comparing each of these image textures in terms of the relative effect of pole positioning in each direction, the following observations can be made:

- 1) For images generated using poles of equal magnitude and angle in both directions, graininess with no directionality to the pattern resulted. Higher pole angles yielded finer (higher frequency) graininess and less contrast. Lower magnitude poles yielded a more random and less structured graininess pattern at the same pole angle.
- Using a pole angle of zero (pole on positive real 2) axis) in one direction and a pole of some non-zero angle in the other direction yielded images with highly directional sinusoidal patterns. The direction corresponding to the pole on the real axis was not totally devoid of variation, but variations were slow, i.e., of very low frequency. The spatial frequency of the sinusoidal pattern can be increased by increasing the pole angle. Large magnitude, high pole angle combinations yielded much cleaner and more structured textures than low magnitude, low pole angle combinations. Lower magnitude, high pole angle combinations yielded less structured textures where directionality was evident but the sinusoidal pattern was obscured. Low magnitude, low pole angle combinations yielded very random, non-structured textures of relatively high contrast.
- 3) Using poles in the  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  planes with the same magnitude but different pole angles resulted in some directionality if there was a sufficiently large magnitude and difference in the pole angles. As observed earlier, the direction with the higher pole angle had the higher spatial frequency. Large pole magnitudes (close to 1) resulted in more structured but rather low-contrast images (the low contrast seemed to obscure the high frequency nature of the pattern somewhat). Pole angles in  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  that were of close value made it difficult to detect the higher frequency (higher pole angle) direction. Low



Figure 2-11 Images Generated Using a Four Pole Autoregressive Model (Poles Listed Below Image)


Figure 2-12 Images Generated Using a Four Pole Autoregressive Model (Poles Listed Below Image)



magnitudes basically negated the pole angle effects and yielded a very random, unstructured, high contrast texture.

4) Where pole magnitudes were close and pole angles were different in the  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  planes, some directionality in the texture was observed. Again, the high pole angle direction yielded the highest frequency. When the pole angles in both Z domains had similar values and the magnitudes of the poles differed, graininess with little or no discernible directionality or structure resulted.

These observations are consistent with classical pole-zero frequency response analysis [Ref. 7:pp. 323-331]. There is a direct relationship between pole angle and spatial frequency in a given direction, and the magnitude of the poles affects the amount of structure and definition of the sinusoidal pattern of a given frequency in a given direction. Higher magnitude poles result in a narrower bandwidth of the filter and yield more structure and sinusoidal pattern definition. Low pole magnitudes give the filter wider bandwidth and yield images with less structure and definition and more randomness in a given direction. While directional dependencies are evident given pole magnitude and angle in a given direction, it does not appear that a pattern in one direction is totally independent of a pattern in the other direction. This would be expected, even though the model is separable, due to the cross terms in the filter structure.

Filter power spectrum and autocorrelation analysis can be conducted in this case, as in the case of the two pole model. The derivations for  $S_{V}(\omega)$  and  $R_{V}(\ell)$  are

somewhat more involved, and are given in Appendix D. The resulting expressions for  $S_y(\omega)$  and  $R_y(\ell)$  from Appendix D are:

$$S_{\mathbf{y}}(\omega) = \frac{1}{1 + \alpha^4 + 2(\alpha^2 - 2[\alpha^3 - \alpha]\cos(\theta)\cos(\omega) + \alpha^2(\cos(2\theta) + \cos(2\omega)))}$$
(2.7)

$$R_{Y}(\ell) = \frac{\alpha^{2}}{2\sin^{2}\theta} \left( \frac{\cos(\ell\theta)}{1-\alpha^{2}} - \frac{\cos((2+\ell)\theta) - \alpha^{2}\cos(\ell\theta)}{1+\alpha^{4} - 2\alpha^{2}\cos(2\theta)} \right) \quad (\ell \geq 0)$$
(2.8)

The plots of these functions for the various pole magnitudes and angles used are given in Appendix E. The  $\theta$  = 0 case is equivalent to having 2 poles on the real axis at a given magnitude in the Z plane. As would be expected, the power spectrum for each model showed higher magnitudes at digital frequencies close to the pole angle. Higher pole magnitudes yielded sharper, more well-defined power spectrum magnitudes at the given frequency, and lower pole magnitudes yielded less well defined more spread-out power spectra. Low pole magnitudes almost completely obliterated evidence of low frequency power spectrum components, and degraded its definition and sharpness at higher frequencies. This corresponds to the observed results in the image textures generated. The autocorrelation functions also reflect the appropriate relationship to the power spectra as outlined in the discussion for the two pole case, i.e., greater

variation in the autocorrelation function indicates greater variation between pixels a given distance apart, which in turn implies higher spatial frequencies.

### 2. <u>Two Real Poles</u>

Rather than using complex conjugate pole locations to obtain real filter coefficient values, two poles on the real axis may also be used for a given direction. They may be placed at different locations on the real axis, or they may be placed together. The latter situation is equivalent to the  $\theta = 0$  (or  $\theta = \pm \pi$  if placed on the negative real axis) case, as mentioned above. For the two real pole case, the relevant equations are:

$$H(z) = \frac{1}{(1-\alpha_{a}z^{-1})(1-\alpha_{b}z^{-1})} = \frac{1}{1-(\alpha_{a}+\alpha_{b})z^{-1}+\alpha_{a}\alpha_{b}z^{-2}}$$
$$= \frac{1}{1+\alpha_{01}z^{-1}+\alpha_{02}z^{-2}}$$

where

$$a_{01} = -(\alpha_a + \alpha_b)$$

 $a_{02} = \alpha a^{\cdot \alpha} b$ 

For these experiments a transfer function of the complex conjugate pole form was used for the  $z_1$  direction, with  $\alpha_1 = 0.9$  and  $\theta_1 = 0$ . For the  $z_2$  direction a transfer function with two poles on the real axis was used. The image textures that result for various values of  $\alpha_{a}$  and  $\alpha_{b}$  are given in Figures 2-14 and 2-15. Some observations can be made about these results:

- 1) With poles placed at the same value on the  $z_2$  real axis, rather unstructured, low frequency variations are observed in the  $z_2$  direction. The more positive poles result in very slow variation in the image texture, while the lower magnitude positive poles show more variation in the  $z_2$  direction. When the poles are placed in the same location on the negative side of the real axis, a low contrast image with some noticeable high frequency variations results.
- 2) As the poles are moved farther apart on the  $z_2$  real axis, high frequency variations with increasing structure and oscillatory form are evidenced in the  $z_2$  direction.
- 3) When poles with equal magnitude and opposite sign are used, fairly structured high frequency variations are evidenced in certain areas of the image, while low frequency variations are evident in other areas in the  $z_2$  direction. Higher magnitude poles yield more discernible, structured variations, while lower magnitude poles of opposite sign yield discernible but non-oscillatory high frequency variations in certain areas of the image.

Of particular interest is the fact that two poles placed at the same value on the negative real axis in the  $z_2$ plane yielded some high frequency variations. This is in keeping with the fact that values on the negative real axis correspond to a pole angle (and corresponding digital frequency) of  $\theta = \pi$ . The presence of poles on the negative side of the real axis of the  $z_2$  plane seems to give rise to the high frequency variations with gradually more structure and oscillatory appearance as the pole is moved to the left (more negative).



 $\alpha_{a} = 0.9 \quad \alpha_{b} = 0.9$ 



 $\alpha_{a} = 0.5 \ \alpha_{b} = 0.5$ 



 $\alpha_a = -0.9 \quad \alpha_b = -0.9$ 



 $\alpha_{a} = 0.9 \quad \alpha_{b} = 0.5$ 



 $\alpha_{a} = 0.9 \quad \alpha_{b} = 0.0$ 



 $\alpha_a = 0.9 \quad \alpha_b = -0.2$ 

# Figure 2-14 Images Generated Using a Four Pole (Two Real Poles) Autoregressive Model





 $\alpha_a = 0.8 \quad \alpha_b = -0.9$ 

 $\alpha_{a} = 0.9 \quad \alpha_{b} = -0.9$ 



 $\alpha_{a} = 0.5 \quad \alpha_{b} = -0.5$ 

Figure 2-15 Images Generated Using a Four Pole (Two Real Poles) Autoregressive Model The expressions for the power spectrum and autocorrelation function for the random process produced by driving the filter of Figure 2-10 with white noise are derived in Appendix F. It is shown there that the power spectral density and the autocorrelation function are given by:

$$S_{\mathbf{Y}}(\omega) = \frac{1}{1-2(\alpha_{a}+\alpha_{b}+\alpha_{a}^{2}\alpha_{b}+\alpha_{a}\alpha_{b}^{2})\cos(\omega)+2\alpha_{a}\alpha_{b}\cos(2\omega)+\alpha_{a}^{2}} + 2\alpha_{a}\alpha_{b}+\alpha_{b}^{2}+\alpha_{a}^{2}\alpha_{b}^{2}}$$
(2.9)

$$R_{\mathbf{Y}}(\ell) = \frac{1}{(\alpha_{\mathbf{a}} - \alpha_{\mathbf{b}})^{2}} \left[ \frac{\alpha_{\mathbf{a}}^{2+\ell}}{1 - \alpha_{\mathbf{a}}^{2}} - \frac{\alpha_{\mathbf{a}}^{\ell+1} \alpha_{\mathbf{b}} + \alpha_{\mathbf{a}} \alpha_{\mathbf{b}}^{\ell+1}}{1 - \alpha_{\mathbf{a}} \alpha_{\mathbf{b}}} + \frac{\alpha_{\mathbf{b}}^{2+\ell}}{1 - \alpha_{\mathbf{b}}^{2}} \right]$$
(2.10)

Plots of these functions for the various values used in this section are given in Appendix G. The power spectrum results are consistent with the observed image spatial frequency characteristics. Both low and high frequency components were contained in some of the power spectra, and were manifested in the corresponding images as both low and high frequency variations in the  $z_2$  direction. The nature of the autocorrelation functions related to the power spectra that contained low and high frequency components was interesting. Autocorrelation functions with much variation but all positive values, rather than the equal magnitude

positive and negative values evidenced in earlier results, seems to reflect the higher level of correlation related to the low frequency (smoother variations) aspect of the image texture variations.

D. IMAGE TEXTURE ROTATION TRANSFORMATION

If an image signal  $\times (n_1, n_2)$  consists of a rotated version of another image  $w(m_1, m_2)$  such that  $n_1 = Im_1 + Jm_2$ and  $n_2 = Km_1 + Lm_2$ , where I, J, K, and L are integers and  $IL-KJ \neq 0$ , then the Z transform  $X(z_1, z_2)$  is given by  $W(z_1^{I}, z_2^{K}, z_1^{J}, z_2^{L})$  [Ref. 3:p. 182]. A 45° rotation corresponds to I = 1, K = 1, J = 1, L = -1. If we use the four pole separable result for  $H(z_1, z_2)$ , as shown in Figure 2-10, and apply the above transformation  $(z_1 \rightarrow z_1^{1} z_2^{1}, z_2 \rightarrow z_1^{1} z_2^{-1})$ , we find after simplification:

$$H_{R}(z_{1}z_{2}) = \frac{1}{1 + a_{01}z_{1}^{-1}z_{2}^{-1} + a_{02}z_{1}^{-2}z_{2}^{2} + a_{10}z_{1}^{-1}z_{2}^{-1} + a_{10}a_{01}z_{1}^{-2} + a_{10}a_{02}z_{1}^{-3}z_{2}^{-1}} + a_{20}z_{1}^{-2}z_{2}^{-2} + a_{20}a_{01}z_{1}^{-3}z_{2}^{-1} + a_{20}a_{02}z_{1}^{-4}}$$
(2.11)

Notice that this transfer function is not separable but consists of a rotated version of a separable filter. Figure 2-16 illustrates the support of the denominator polynomial for this filter. It has the form of a non-symmetric halfplane infinite impulse response (IIR) filter, so it is recursively computable.

0	0	a <sub>20</sub>	0	
0	a <sub>20</sub> a <sub>01</sub>	0	a <sub>10</sub>	-
a <sub>20</sub> a <sub>02</sub>	0	a <sub>10</sub> a <sub>01</sub>	0	1
0	a <sub>10</sub> a <sub>02</sub>	0	a <sub>01</sub>	0
0	0	a <sub>02</sub>	0	0

Figure 2-16 Rotation Transformation Filter Form

The application of this filter, using filter coefficients of the four pole separable filter with poles at  $z_1 \rightarrow 0.9e^{\pm j\frac{\pi}{2}}$ ,  $z_2 \rightarrow 0.9e^{\pm j0}$  in the original separable filter yielded the result shown in Figure 2-17.



Figure 2-17 Result of Rotation Transformation

#### E. SUMMARY

Autoregressive models can produce a variety of image textures. For general two-dimensional models, the system functions are generally not factorable and singularities occur on surfaces, not at isolated points. For these reasons it is difficult to design two-dimensional filters for images and predict the resulting character of the images. Indeed, even to ensure stability of the filter is not trivial. As a result we concentrated here on separable forms, which by their nature are much easier to analyze. Certain types of texture patterns using various separable autoregressive models can be predicted based on filter pole placement in the  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  planes. Arbitrary or random selection of filter coefficients can yield interesting but generally unpredictable results. Obviously, an infinite number of variations on the models above could be attempted. Ultimately, the anticipated utility of the textures generated will guide the process of model and parameter selection.

#### III. IMPLEMENTATION OF AN FIR SUMMATION FILTER IN TWO DIMENSIONS

To implement the 2-D ARIMA model, the inverse of a filter representing a suitable difference operator is needed. One possible 2-D difference operator is the Laplacian, which has the impulse response shown in Figure 3-1 [Ref. 8:pp. 212-213].



Figure 3-1 Laplacian Impulse Response

Its implementation involves convolving it with an image and is represented by the following difference equation:

$$y(n,m) = \sum_{i=-l}^{l} \sum_{j=-l}^{l} b_{ij}x(n-i,m-j)$$
 (3.1)

where

$$Y(z_1, z_2) = H(z_1, z_2) \cdot X(z_1, z_2)$$
$$= (4 - z^{-1} - z_1 - z^{-1} - z_2) \cdot X(z_1, z_2)$$

In areas of an image where adjacent pixels have similar gray levels (low frequency, homogeneous areas), the result of this operator will be approximately zero. Where significant or sharp differences in gray levels between adjacent pixels exist, the result of this operation will be farther from zero. Thus the Laplacian difference operator is sometimes used as an "edge detector."

The problem addressed in this chapter is constructing the inverse of the operator. In the Z domain, the expression for the inverse would be [Ref. 4:p. 36]:

$$H^{-1}(z_1, z_2) = \frac{1}{H(z_1, z_2)} = \frac{1}{4 - z_1^{-1} - z_1 - z_2^{-1} - z_2}$$

which has an expansion as an infinite series of positive and negative powers of  $z_1$  and  $z_2$ . That is, considering this expression as a problem in long division, the result of such division would be an expression of the form:

$$H^{-1}(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{ij} z_1^{-i} z_2^{-j}$$

where

 $a_{ij} = \text{coefficient values of } z_1^{i} \overline{z_2^{j}} \text{ resulting from the long division}$ 

Note that if

$$\frac{1}{4 - z_1^{-1} - z_1 - z_2^{-1} - z_2} = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{ij} z_1^{-i} z_2^{-j}$$

then cross multiplication would yield:

$$(4 - z^{-1} - z_1 - z^{-2} - z_2) \cdot \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{ij} z^{-i} z^{-j} = 1 \quad (3.3)$$

The double summation expression in  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  will be truncated and considered to be an FIR filter with finite support and coefficients  $a_{ij}$ . This approximates the desired inverse filter. In particular, we will use the following constraints:

 Choose the limits of summation to be equal in both directions, i.e.,

$$\sum_{i=-L}^{L} \sum_{j=-L}^{L} a_{ij} z_{1}^{-i} z_{2}^{-j}$$

This results in a "square" region of support for the filter (all values outside assumed zero).

2) Force the values for the filter coefficients to be symmetric, i.e., a<sub>ij</sub> = a<sub>-ij</sub> = a<sub>i-j</sub> = a<sub>-i-j</sub> = a<sub>ji</sub> = a<sub>-ji</sub> = a<sub>j-i</sub> = a<sub>-j-i</sub>.

Using these constraints and implementing the cross multiplication equation (3.3) will result in an expression in  $z_1$  and  $z_2$ , with each combination of the  $\overline{z_1} \overline{z_2}$  terms having a coefficient whose form is a summation of terms in  $a_{ij}$ 

where the coefficients of  $a_{ij}$  are either 4 or -1. The coefficient of the  $z_1^0 z_2^0$  term must equal 1 and the coefficient of any other  $z_1^{-i} z_2^{-j}$  term must equal zero to satisfy equation (3.3).

As an example, let L = 1. Equation (3.3) can then be expressed as:

$$(4 - z^{-1} - z_1 - z^{-1} - z_2) \cdot \sum_{\substack{i=-1 \ j=-1}}^{l} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \ j=-1}}^{l} a_{ij} z_1^{-i} z_2^{-j}$$
$$= 1 + 0 \cdot z_1^{l} + 0 \cdot z_2^{l} + 0 \cdot z_1^{l} z_2^{l} + \dots$$

Performing the double summation yields

$$\sum_{i=-1}^{1} \sum_{j=-1}^{1} a_{ij} z_{1}^{-i} z_{2}^{-j} = a_{-1-1} z_{1}^{1} z_{2}^{1} + a_{-10} z_{1}^{1} z_{2}^{0} + a_{-11} z_{1}^{1} z_{2}^{-1} + a_{00} z_{1}^{0} z_{2}^{0} + a_{0-1} z_{1}^{0} z_{2}^{1} + a_{1-1} z_{1}^{-1} z_{2}^{1} + a_{10} z_{1}^{-1} + a_{01} z_{2}^{-1} + a_{11} z_{1}^{-1} z_{2}^{-1}$$

Performing the cross multiplication would yield 45 different terms in various combinations of  $z_1^{-i}z_2^{-j}$ . Combining these terms to find the coefficient expression for each  $z_1^{-i}z_2^{-j}$ term soon becomes rather tedious and impractical for even moderate values of L. An alternative way to proceed is to choose a  $z_1^{a}z_2^{b}$  term on the right hand side of the equation, and for each term in the expression  $4-z_1^{-1}-z_1-z_2^{-1}-z_2$ , determine what values of i and j are required so that when each term is multiplied by  $a_{ij}z_1^{-i}z_2^{-j}$ , it will result in an

expression in the chosen  $z_{1}^{a}z_{2}^{b}$  term on the right hand side of the equation. Choosing  $z_{1}^{0}z_{2}^{0}$  (= 1) on the right hand side of the equation, we have:

$$4 \cdot a_{ij} z_{1}^{-i} z_{2}^{-j} = c z_{1}^{0} z_{2}^{0} \text{ when } i = 0 \text{ and } j = 0; \text{ so } c = 4 a_{00}$$

$$-z_{1}^{-1} \cdot a_{ij} z_{1}^{-i} z_{2}^{-j} = c z_{1}^{0} z_{2}^{0} \text{ when } i = -1 \text{ and } j = 0; \text{ so } c = -a_{-10}$$

$$-z_{1} \cdot a_{ij} z_{1}^{-i} z_{2}^{-j} = c z_{1}^{0} z_{2}^{0} \text{ when } i = 1 \text{ and } j = 0; \text{ so } c = -a_{10}$$

$$-z_{2}^{-1} \cdot a_{ij} z_{1}^{-i} z_{2}^{-j} = c z_{1}^{0} z_{2}^{0} \text{ when } i = 0 \text{ and } j = -1; \text{ so } c = -a_{04}$$

$$-z_{2} \cdot a_{ij} z_{1}^{-i} z_{2}^{-j} = c z_{12}^{0} z_{2}^{0} \text{ when } i = 0 \text{ and } j = 1; \text{ so } c = -a_{01}$$

So the coefficient for  $z_{12}^{0}$  is simply a summation of the c terms obtained above, i.e.,

$$(4a_{00} - a_{10} - a_{10} - a_{0-1} - a_{01})z_1^0z_2^0$$

This entire expression must equal 1 to satisfy (3.3), and since  $z_{1}^{0}z_{2}^{0} = 1$ ,  $4a_{00} - a_{-10} - a_{10} - a_{0-1} - a_{01} = 1$  also.

Using the same method for the  $z_{12}^{121}$  term on the right hand side yields:

$$4 \cdot a_{ij} z_{1}^{-i} z_{2}^{-j} = c z_{1}^{1} z_{2}^{1} \text{ when } i = -1 \text{ and } j = -1; \text{ so } c = 4a_{-1-1}$$

$$-z_{1}^{-1} \cdot a_{ij} z_{1}^{-i} z_{2}^{-j} = c z_{1}^{1} z_{1}^{1} \text{ when } i = -2 \text{ and } j = -1; \text{ so } c = -a_{-2-1}$$

$$-z_{1} \cdot a_{ij} z_{1}^{-i} z_{2}^{-j} = c z_{1}^{1} z_{1}^{1} \text{ when } i = 0 \text{ and } j = -1; \text{ so } c = -a_{0-1}$$

$$-z_{2}^{-1} \cdot a_{ij} z_{1}^{-i} z_{2}^{-j} = c z_{1}^{1} z_{1}^{1} \text{ when } i = -1 \text{ and } j = -2; \text{ so } c = -a_{-1-2}$$

$$-z_{2} \cdot a_{ij} z_{1}^{-i} z_{2}^{-j} = c z_{1}^{1} z_{1}^{1} \text{ when } i = -1 \text{ and } j = 0; \text{ so } c = -a_{-10}$$

The resulting term in  $z_1^1 z_2^1$  is:

$$(4a_{-1-1} - a_{-2-1} - a_{0-1} - a_{-1-2} - a_{-10})z_1^{1}z_2^{1}$$

This expression must equal zero, since there is no  $z_{12}^{1}z_{2}^{1}$  term on the right side of (3.3), so  $4a_{-1-1} - a_{-2-1} - a_{0-1} - a_{-1-2}$  $- a_{-10} = 0$ .

This procedure can be extended to any number of  $z_1^{-i}z_7^{-j}$ terms. When this is done, the resulting expressions for the coefficients of  $z_1^{-i} z_2^{-j}$  can be formed into a set of simultaneous equations in order to solve for the aij coefficient values. However, due to the symmetry condition imposed above, some of the equations for the coefficients of the  $z_1^{-i}z_2^{-j}$  terms are linearly dependent. For values of i and j that yield unique or distinct values for aij, the resulting  $z_1^{-i}z_2^{-j}$  coefficient expressions are linearly independent. For example, the coefficient expression for the  $z_1^{-1}z_2^0$  term is linearly independent of the coefficient expression for the  $z_{1}^{-1}z_{2}^{-0}$  term, since  $a_{10} \neq a_{11}$ . But the coefficient expression for the  $z_{1}^{1}z_{2}^{0}$  term is linearly dependent on the coefficient expression for the  $z_{12}^{0}z_{2}^{-1}$  term, since  $a_{10} = a_{01}$ . Using only the linearly independent equations for a given filter size yields a set of p equations in p unknowns, where p is the number of unique and distinct aij values in the inverse filter. The value of p is related to the size of the desired inverse filter. If the size of the filter is  $N \times N$ ,

the number of unique a<sub>ij</sub> values using the symmetry of constraint above is:

$$p = (\frac{N-1}{2}+1) + (\frac{N-1}{2}) + (\frac{N-1}{2}-1) + \dots + 1$$
 (3.4a)

$$= \frac{(N+1)(N+3)}{4}$$
(3.4b)

For example, with N = 7, the unique  $a_{ij}$  values in a  $7 \times 7$ inverse filter can be represented by  $a_{33}$ ,  $a_{32}$ ,  $a_{31}$ ,  $a_{30}$ ,  $a_{22}$ ,  $a_{21}$ ,  $a_{20}$ ,  $a_{11}$ ,  $a_{10}$ ,  $a_{00}$ . Though there are 49 elements in a  $7 \times 7$  filter, all of them are equal to one of these values listed, due to symmetry. Obviously, N is constrained to be odd for a square filter with a unique element  $a_{00}$  in the center.

The solution of the resulting p equations yields the values for the p filter elements or coefficients. This defines the FIR approximation to the inverse filter. It is only an approximation due to the finite size constraint imposed, and it might be expected that the larger the filter size, the better the approximation.

An algorithmic procedure for obtaining the a<sub>ij</sub> coefficients is outlined below. An example follows.

- 1) Determine the desired size of the inverse filter.
- 2) For each combination of (positive) i,j values corresponding to a <u>unique</u>  $a_{ij}$  filter coefficient, identify the five term summation equation associated with each  $z_1^{-1}z_2^{-1}$  term.

- 3) Combine equal  $a_{ij}$  values and develop a matrix of <u>coefficients</u> for the  $a_{ij}$  values. Let this matrix be A.
- 4) Denoting the vector of unique a<sub>ij</sub> values as a, the set of simultaneous equations in matrix form is:

$$\overline{A}\overline{a}^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\0\\.\\.\\.\\0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(3.5)

where

$$a = [a_{00} \ a_{10} \ a_{11} \ a_{20} \ a_{21} \ a_{22} \ \cdots \ a_{N-1} \ \frac{N-1}{2} \ \frac{N-1}{2}$$

The top row of  $\overline{A}$  corresponds to the summation of  $a_{jj}$  terms that represents the coefficient of the  $z_1^0 z_2^0$  term.

```
5) Solve (3.5) for \overline{a}.
```

An example of this procedure is appropriate at this point. For an inverse filter of size  $N \times N$ :

Step 1

Let N = 5 (therefore L = 2)

Step 2

The coefficients corresponding to each unique  $z^{-i}z^{-j}$  term are:

 $z_{1}^{0}z_{2}^{0} \rightarrow 4a_{00} - a_{10} - a_{-10} - a_{01} - a_{0-1}$   $z_{1}^{-1}z_{2}^{0} \rightarrow 4a_{10} - a_{20} - a_{00} - a_{11} - a_{1-1}$  $z_{1}^{-1}z_{2}^{-1} \rightarrow 4a_{11} - a_{21} - a_{01} - a_{12} - a_{10}$ 

$$z_{1}^{-2}z_{2}^{0} \rightarrow 4a_{20} - a_{30} - a_{10} - a_{21} - a_{2-1}$$

$$z_{1}^{-2}z_{2}^{-1} \rightarrow 4a_{21} - a_{31} - a_{11} - a_{20} - a_{2-2}$$

$$z_{1}^{-2}z_{2}^{-2} \rightarrow 4a_{22} - a_{32} - a_{12} - a_{23} - a_{21}$$

Step 3

Combining equal terms in Step 2 and expressing the coefficients of  $a_{ij}$  in matrix form yields an  $\overline{A}$  matrix of:

		4	-4	0	0	0	ο
		-1	4	-2	-1	0	0
Ā	=	0	-2	4	0	-2	0
		0	-1	0	4	-2	0
		0	0	-1	-1	4	-1
		0	0	0	0	-2	4

Step 4

With  $\overline{A}$  given in Step 3, the  $\overline{a}$  vector for (3.5) is

 $\overline{a} = [a_{00} \ a_{10} \ a_{11} \ a_{20} \ a_{21} \ a_{22}]$ 

Step 5

Solving (3.5) for  $\overline{a}$  involves inverting  $\overline{A}$  and multiplying it by [1 0 0 0 0]<sup>T</sup>. Thus:

 $\overline{\mathbf{a}} = \overline{\mathbf{A}}^{-1} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{\mathrm{T}}$ 

Appendix H illustrates the forms of the resulting inverse filters of various sizes, as well as the normalized and unnormalized filter cross sections.

One way to validate the resulting inverse filter is to convolve it with the original Laplacian difference operator. The result should approximate an impulse at the origin. Appendix I shows the results of this convolution using  $3 \times 3$ ,  $5 \times 5$ ,  $7 \times 7$ ,  $9 \times 9$ ,  $15 \times 15$ , and  $21 \times 21$  size inverse filters. It is seen there that as the size of the filter gets larger, it becomes a better approximation to the true inverse and the convolution looks more like an impulse.

To test the application of this filter on an actual image, a test image was filtered using the Laplacian difference operator. Then the resulting image data were filtered again using various size inverse filters. The results are shown in Figures 3-2 and 3-3. Note that the image resulting from Laplacian FIR filtering seems more stationary than the test image, which was one of the desired results. Inverse filtering of that result yields images that are progressively more similar to the original test image as the size of the inverse filter increases. However, a rather large inverse filter is needed to accurately reproduce the image. The result of the 21 × 21 inverse filter is quite similar to the test image, with some loss of contrast or darkness in certain areas, but with essentially the same pattern. The effect of the size limitation of the



Test image



Laplacian filtered test image



 $3 \times 3$  inverse filter



 $5 \times 5$  inverse filter

Figure 3-2 Results of Filtering Test Image with Laplacian FIR Filter and its Inverse



 $7 \times 7$  inverse filter



 $9 \times 9$  inverse filter



15 × 15 inverse filter



21 × 21 inverse filter

Figure 3-3 Results of Filtering Test Image with Laplacian FIR Filter and its Inverse inverse filter, as manifested in the convolution of the Laplacian and its inverse above, would seem to explain the lack of perfect test image reproduction. Larger inverse filter sizes could be tried, but large inverse filter sizes relative to image size would result in a significant portion around the edge of the image having only a part of the filter applied to it. This would adversely affect the overall quality of image reproduction.

#### IV. APPLICATION OF THE ARIMA MODEL TO IMAGE TEXTURES

As outlined in Chapter I, the utility of the ARIMA model centers around the fact that a difference operator applied to an image texture may improve the stationarity of the image statistical characteristics. A stationary image texture is required for accurate modeling by autoregressive techniques, and it was hoped that application of the autoregressively generated texture to an approximate inverse of the difference operator may yield a more accurate or recognizable representation of the original nonstationary image, as compared to a purely autoregressively generated version.

#### A. APPLICATION OF LAPLACIAN INVERSE FILTER TO AUTO-REGRESSIVELY GENERATED IMAGES

As an initial examination of the effects of the inverse filter developed in Chapter III on image textures, selected images generated in Chapter II were input to the 21 × 21 version of that filter. Figures 4-1 through 4-3 illustrate the results. All attempts resulted in a blurred or smoothed version of the original image. Since the inverse of a a summation or "integration" operation is difference operation, and since integration operations can be expected to smooth or blur (low pass filter) signals [Ref. 8:pp. 136results are not 154], the surprising. However,









Figure 4-1 Images from Figure 2-7 (top 4) Applied to Summation Filter



Figure 4-2 Images from Figure 2-11 (top 4) Applied to Summation Filter









Figure 4-3 Images from Figure 2-14 (top 4) Applied to Summation Filter

except to the extent that blurring is useful or desirable, applying the summation filter to image signals that are not based on the application of the corresponding difference filter to that signal seems to be of little utility.

In the remainder of this chapter we consider application of the summation filter to regenerate actual image textures.

## B. AUTOREGRESSIVE FILTER PARAMETER ESTIMATION PROCEDURES

The first step in testing the ARIMA model is to estimate the autoregressive, quarter plane filter parameters required to model the real image textures and the signal resulting from application of the Laplacian operator to those images. For a zero-mean signal, these model parameters are found by solving a set of Normal equations. In these equations the white noise covariance is referred to as the prediction error covariance. The Normal equations can be expressed as

$$\overline{R} = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{a_0} \\ \overline{a_1} \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \overline{a_{p-1}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{s} \\ 0 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(4.1)

where the R matrix is the correlation matrix for the signal (block Toeplitz with Toeplitz blocks), the a vector consists of appropriately ordered filter coefficient vectors, and s is a vector containing the prediction error covariance as

the first and only nonzero element. Here  $\overline{a_i} = [a_{i0} \quad a_{i1} \\ a_{i2} \quad \dots \quad a_{i \quad Q-1}]^T$  and  $\overline{s} = [\sigma^2 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad \dots \quad 0]^T$ .

Calculating the correlation matrix and prediction error covariance from the image signals, and solving (4.1) for the a vectors, provides all the parameters needed for the 2-D AR model. The multichannel form of the Levinson recursion can be used to solve these equations more efficiently [Ref. 2:p. 454].

### C. APPLICATION TO REAL IMAGE TEXTURES

Actual image textures used here are from the image data base at the University of Southern California's Signal and Image Processing Institute [Ref. 9:pp. 13-14]. The images selected from this data base are contained in a book by Brodatz [Ref. 10]. Portions of the images of size 128 × 128 pixels were obtained and used as a basis for processing. Filter coefficients were calculated for the real image textures shown in Figures 4-4 and 4-5. Various filter sizes were tried to determine which yielded the best results in generating a particular image, and a quarter-plane filter size of  $4 \times 4$  was selected. Results of autoregressive filtering of white noise using the appropriate calculated coefficients to model each texture are given in Figures 4-6 and 4-7. Images generated by applying the Laplacian difference operator to the real images are shown in Figures 4-8 and 4-9. Autoregressive generation of these images using



Grass



Bark



Water



Sand

Bubbles



Wall

# Figure 4-4 Actual Image Textures



Sand



Gravel



Grass

# Figure 4-5 Actual Image Textures



Grass



Bark



Water



Sand



Bubbles



Wall

Figure 4-6 Image Textures Generated Using an AR Model



Sand



Gravel



Grass

Figure 4 -7 Image Textures Generated Using an AR Model



Figure 4-8 Actual Images After Laplacian Filtering


Sand



Gravel



Grass

Figure 4-9 Actual Images After Laplacian Filtering

filters with the corresponding calculated coefficients are given in Figures 4-10 and 4-11. Finally, the application of the signal represented by the images in Figures 4-10 and 4-11 (without the 0-255 scaling reflected in these figures) to the  $21 \times 21$  inverse filter described in Chapter III yields the images shown in Figures 4-12 and 4-13. Comparison of all of the above results yields the following observations:

- 1) Autoregressive modeling of the water, grass and sand textures yielded good results. Some of the other textures with more structure and sharp local variations were not reproduced well.
- 2) Autoregressive reproduction of images created after application of the difference operator, with the exception of the water image, yielded generally poor results. As observed in Chapter III, the application of the difference operator produces a seemingly more stationary result, but the edge structure that remained in most of the images after application of the difference operator was in general not reproducible using a purely AR model.
- 3) Application of the inverse filter to the image signal generated by AR model reproduction of the difference operator results yielded smoothed versions of those results. This is similar to what was observed in Section A of this chapter when images were applied to the inverse filter that were not based on the specific data generated by the difference operator.

As a final test of the ARIMA model, a 64 × 64 contrast enhanced aerial photograph of trees, with smoother variations and in general less edge structure than the other images tested, was tried. The results are shown in Figure 4-14. Though this image seemed somewhat better adapted to the model, overall the same observations outlined above apply.



Grass



Sand



Bark



Water



Bubbles



Wall

# Figure 4-10 Laplacian Filtered Image Textures Generated Using an AR Model



Sand



Gravel



Grass

Figure 4-11 Laplacian Filtered Image Textures Generated Using an AR Model



Grass



Bark



Water







Wall

Figure 4-12 Image Textures Generated Using an ARIMA Model



Sand



Gravel



Grass

Figure 4-13 Image Textures Generated Using an ARIMA Model



Original Image



Contrast Enhanced



AR Generated Contrast Enhanced Image



Difference Operator Result



AR Generated Difference Operator Result



ARIMA Result

Figure 4-14 Final Test of ARIMA Model on Contrast Enhanced Trees (Magnification X2)

## D. SUMMARY

The effectiveness of AR reproduction of image data using white noise input and filter coefficients calculated based on the statistics of the image signal is highly dependent on the nature of the image data. The water image, for example, with its smoothly varying and rather homogeneous nature, was quite well adapted to AR reproduction. Other images with more structure, abrupt variations, and more non-homogeneous characteristics, were not autoregressively reproducible to any great extent.

Using the ARIMA model, it seems that the operation of the inverse filter is very sensitive to the nature of the input data. Input data that are strictly based on the difference operator output can reproduce the original image, as was found in Chapter III. However, the AR model used to generate the inverse filter input (based on the statistics of image signal produced using the difference operator) does not generate image data accurately enough to reproduce images that resemble the real images tested.

This thesis sought to explore experimentally and to understand how linear filtering models could be used to generate texture in images. Of particular interest was the investigation of 2-D ARIMA models to see if they might be of any utility in this effort. Some time was spent exploring separable 2-D models to understand how transfer function pole placement affected image texture characteristics. Image textures generated using these models and applied to the summation filter yielded blurred or smooth textures with seemingly little variety or utility. The ultimate test of the model was its ability to reproduce actual image textures. The purely AR portion of the model reproduced a few types of actual textures well. However, the full ARIMA model failed to generate image textures that resembled the source images used. Many of the textures had strong edge differences that were not accurately reproducible by the AR Also, the summation filter developed seemed very model. sensitive to deviations in image signal data from that generated by application of the difference operator; that is, the procedure seemed not to be "robust."

Since many of the images tested here have definite edge structure, the difference image had lines which were not reproduced well by the AR model. Correspondingly the

integrated AR model did not reproduce the original image. For this type of image, a combination of a line point process model [Ref. 11] with the integrator, would possibly have been more suitable. The image of trees had not such edge structure and produced somewhat better results. Further experimentation with images of this type and the ARIMA model would perhaps be appropriate.

## APPENDIX A

## COMPUTER PROGRAMS, SUBROUTINES, AND FUNCTIONS

Listed below are the names, associated computer systems, and functions of the various computer algorithms used to accomplish this thesis research. All programs were written by the thesis author unless otherwise noted. Program source codes are given at the end of this appendix (except for the MAKFIL\* series).

## A. PROGRAMS

1. AUTOREG (VAX/VMS FORTRAN)

The program did the following:

- Generated a 128 × 128 zero mean white noise matrix using subroutine PGAUSS.
- Multiplied the white noise by the appropriate image data standard deviation when necessary.
- 3) Converted that matrix into a displayable image file using subroutines SCALE and INTBYTE, when necessary.
- Read filter parameters from an input file into an array.
- 5) Implemented the equation:

$$y(n,m) = - \sum_{\substack{j=0 \ i=0 \ (i,j) \neq 0}}^{P-1 Q-1} a_{ij}y(n-i,m-j) + w(n,m) \quad (A.1)$$

using the white noise array and the filter coefficient array as inputs.

- 6) Converted the array result from 4) into a displayable image file using subroutines SCALE and INTBYTE.
- 7) Used subroutine SUBINTFILE to create image data files from filter results for further processing.
- 8) Used subroutine NONC to apply the summation filter to image data when necessary.
  - 2. NONCAUSAL (VAX/VMS FORTRAN)

This program did the following:

- 1) Read filter coefficient values into an array.
- 2) Read image data from an input image file, converted it to integer values using subroutine BYTEINT, calculated the mean from the data, and placed the data into a real array.
- 3) Implemented the equation:

$$y(n,m) = \sum_{i=-L}^{L} \sum_{j=-L}^{L} a_{ij}x(n-i,m-j)$$
(A.2)

using the image data array and the filter coefficient array.

- 4) Called the subroutine NONC to implement the equation in Step 3) a second time, when necessary.
- 5) Converted the result of Step 3) to a displayable image file using subroutine SCALE and INTBYTE.
  - 3. CONV (VAX/VMX FORTRAN)

This program performs the same basic functions as NONCAUSAL, without having the capability of calling subroutine NONC. It was used for convenience in convolving certain filter structures with certain test images directly.

# 4. MAKFIL\* (VAX/VMS FORTRAN)

This family of programs was used to create various autoregressive and FIR filter coefficient files, using source data manually entered into the program.

## 5. SPECOR2 (IBM SYSTEM/370 3033 VS FORTRAN 1.4.1)

This program implemented the equations derived in Appendix B and created data files used in developing the corresponding graphs.

## 6. SPECOR3 (IBM SYSTEM/370 3033 VS FORTRAN 1.4.1)

This program implemented the equations derived in Appendix D and created data files used in developing the corresponding graphs.

## 7. SPECOR3A (IBM SYSTEM/370 3033 VS FORTRAN 1.4.1)

This program implemented the equations derived in Appendix F and created data files used in developing corresponding graphs.

## 8. VARIMGS (VAX/VMS FORTRAN)

This program was used to display image data files on the COMTAL (not written by author).

## 9. PIECE (VAX/VMS FORTRAN)

This program was used to make  $128 \times 128$  image data files from larger image data files.

## 10. INTFILE (VAX/VMS FORTRAN)

This program created appropriately formatted integer files from input image data for further processing.

## 11. TRANS (VAX/VMS FORTRAN)

This program changed the format of filter coefficient data files into a form readable by the image processing programs.

### 12. NSHP (VAX/VMS FORTRAN)

This program was used to convert quarter-plane autoregressive filter coefficient data to non-symmetric halfplane autoregressive filter coefficient data based on the transformation outlined in Chapter II, Section D.

#### B. SUBROUTINES

## 1. PGAUSS (VAX/VMS\_FORTRAN)

This subroutine, written by C.W. Therrien, was used to generate zero mean, unit variance white noise using RAN (a random number generator function) SQRT, COS, and SIN FORTRAN functions.

### 2. <u>SCALE (VAX/VMS FORTRAN)</u>

This subroutine takes an image data array and converts it to an integer array with values between an input maximum (MAX) and minimum (MIN) using the following scaling formula:

$$I(i,j) = \frac{(A(i,j)-LOW) \times (MAX-MIN)}{HIGH-LOW} + MIN$$
(A.3)

A(i,j) is the input image data array, I(i,j) is the output integer array, and HIGH and LOW are the high and low values of A(i,j), respectively (calculated in this subroutine).

This is done to provide appropriate values for image files that will be displayed on the COMTAL Vision One/20, since the gray scale intensity level of each pixel is represented by an 8-bit word. So values possible (in base 10) range from 0 (darkest), to 255 (brightest).

## 3. INTBYTE AND BYTEINT (VAX/VMS FORTRAN)

These subroutines are necessary since data in an image file are stored in two's complement form. The related variable type in FORTRAN for these values is BYTE. To process image data using FORTRAN implementation of the appropriate formulas, these byte values must be converted to integer (and eventually real using the FLOAT function) form. Results of image processing formulas in real form must be converted to integer (using the INT function) and then byte form to be placed in image data files. INTBYTE converts integer type variables to byte type variables using the following criterion (I is an integer and B is a byte):

If I  $\leq$  127 and I  $\geq$  0 then B = I

If I > 127 and I  $\leq$  255 then B = I-256

BYTEINT converts byte type variables to integer type variables using the following criterion:

If  $B \ge -128$  and B < 0 then I = B+256

If  $B \ge 0$  and  $B \le 127$  then I = B

## 4. <u>SUBINTFILE (VAX/VMS FORTRAN)</u>

This subroutine performed the same function as INTFILE, but could be called by a program to operate on

processed image data arrays, rather than just image file data inputs.

### 5. NONC (VAX/VMX FORTRAN)

This subroutine performs essentially the same functions as NONCAUSAL, except that it can be called by a program to operate on an image data array.

## C. APL FUNCTIONS

The APL systems on the IBM System/370 3033 and VAX/UNIX were used for matrix manipulations and operations, for graphing filter structures and convolution results, and for calculating autoregressive filter coefficients from image data. All APL functions except MAKMAT were written by C.W. Therrien.

## 1. MAKMAT (IBM)

This function was used to create the large coefficient matrices  $(\overline{A})$  used in calculating the FIR filter coefficients as outlined in Chapter III.

## 2. <u>CC2 (IBM)</u>

This function was used to circularly convolve the Laplacian FIR filter and its various inverses. Appropriate zero-padding of these filters makes the resulting circular convolution equivalent to linear convolution [Ref. 2:pp. 70-72], which was the desired operation.

## 3. <u>GETDATA (VAX/UNIX)</u>

This function is used to transfer image data files from a UNIX subdirectory to an APL workspace.

### 4. PUTDATA (VAX/UNIX)

This function is used to transfer filter coefficient data files from an APL workspace to a UNIX subdirectory.

5. MEAN (VAX/UNIX)

This function is used to calculate the mean of an image data file for use in the APL function COVF.

6. <u>COVF (VAX/UNIX)</u>

This function is used to calculate terms in the 2-D covariance function for use in the APL function CORR.

7. CORR (VAX/UNIX)

This function is used to estimate the 2-D covariance function of the image data.

8. MVLEV (VAX/UNIX)

This function is used in APL function FF2DLEV to calculate necessary parameters for the 2-D Levinson recursion from the covariance function of the image data.

9. FF2DLEV (VAX/UNIX)

This function performs the 2-D Levinson recursion to solve for the filter coefficient vector.

THIS PROGRAM GENERATES AN IMAGE TEXTIRE USING WHITE NOISE AS AN INPUT c TO AN AUTOREGRESSIVE FILTER MHOSE PARAPMETERS ARE OBTAINED FROM THE ć FILE FILLOEF. SUBROUTINE PRANSS IS USED TO GENERATE THE INPUT WHITE c NOISE AND SUBROUTINES SCALE AND INTRYTE ARE USED TO PREPARE IMAGE с DATA ARRAYS FOR DISPLAY. SUBPOUTINE SUBINIFILE IS USED IF AN ¢ INTEGER FILE RESULT IS DESIRED, AND SURROUTINE NONC IS USED IF A с LAPLACIAN INVERSE FILTERING STEP IS NEEDED. с c DEFINE VARIABLES С byte a(0:127), bim(0:127,0:127) integer niseedirsizeissizeiomliamliijiiolirowicoliinteg(0:127,0:1 127) real+9 vall,val2,wn(0:127,0:127), dsum, im(0:127,0:127), coef(0:9,0:9 :),var,max,min,imout(0:127,0:127) OPEN FILES с open(unit=1, name=' (rarnmann.data) wn.dat', type='new', access='direct (', recordsize=32, maxret=129) open(unit=2,nym==\*(rathmann.im)final9a.dat',tvoe='new',access='di irect', n+condsize=32, maxret=129} open(3, file='(rathmann.jata) fcdbub.dat', status='old') DEFINE PARAMETERS c see J=1234567 rsize=127 csize=127 0-1=3 an1=3 max=229.0d0 n:n=27.0d0 CREATE AHITE NOISE ARRAY с do 10 i=0, rsize do 20 j=0,csize-1 j 01 = j + 1 call pqauss(seed,vall,val2) wh(i,j)=vall wh(i,jp1)=val2 20 continue 10 continue SCALE ARRAY AN AND CONVERT TO BYTE FORM с c call scale(wh, integ, max, min) call intoyte(integ,bim) с WRITE THE WHITE NOISE IMAGE ARRAY TO A FILE ¢ c do 30 i=0, rsize do 40 j=0, size с 3(;)=01m(i,j) ¢ 40 continue c write(1'i+1) (a(n),n=0,rsize) c с 30 continue READ FILTER PARAMETERS INTO AN APRAM c Jo 50 1=0.0-1 do 50 j=0, am1 read(3,551 coef(1,j) 55 (S1.05r) ternet 00 continue 50 continue MULTIPLY WHITE NOISE BY REAL IMAGE STANDARD DEVIATION c var=sort(coef(0,0)) do 70 i=0,rsize do 30 j=0,csīze wn(i,j)=wn(1,j)+var 80 continue

```
70 continue
   APPLY WHITE NOISE TO THE AUTOREGRESSIVE FILTER
c
      do 110 n=0, rsize
        as 120 m=0, size
          050==0.000
          00 130 i=0,0m1
            an 140 (=0, am)
              if((1.eg.0).and.(j.eq.0)) go to 140
              ro==0=1
              col==-j
              if((row.lt.0).or.(cn1.lt.0)) as to 140
              asum=(coef(i,j)*in(row.col))+asum
  1/10
            continue
  130
          continue
          in(n+m)=(-1.0d0+dsum)+wn(n+m)
  120
        continue
  110 continue
C FILTER THE IMAGE DATA ARRAY JSING THE LAPLACTAN INVERSE FILTER
      call nonc(im, imput)
   SCALE THE RESULTING IMAGE ARRAY AND CONVERT TO BYTE FORM
c
      call scale(imput/integ/max/min)
      call intbyte(integroim)
   WRITE THE GENERATED IMAGE INTO A FILE
с
      do 150 i=0, rsize
        do 160 j=0, size
          a(j)=pim(i,j)
  160
        continue
        write(2'i+1) (a(n), n=0, rsize)
  150 continue
  CLOSE FILES
C
      close(unit=1)
      close(unit=2)
      close(3)
      stop
      end
```

## NONCAUSAL

```
THIS PROGRAM GENERATES AN IMAGE TEXTURE USING A NONCAUSAL FIR FILTER
С
     WHOSE PARAFETERS ARE UNIAIVED FROM A DATA FILE. THE FILTER IS
С
     PPLIED TO AN IMAGE. SUBROUTINES SCALE, INTEVIE, AND BYTEINT ARE
С
     JSED TO PREPARE IMAGE DATA ARRAYS FOR DISPLAY. SUBROUTINE NONC
С
     IS USED IF AN INTERMEDIATE FILTERING STEP IS DESIRED.
c
с
   DEFINE VARIABLES
C
      byte a(0:127),bim(0:127,0:127)
      integer n, rsize, csize, i, j, row, col, integ(0:127,0:127), fsize, mfsize,
     +indexl,index2
      real#9 sum, in (0:127,0:127), coef(-10:10,-10:10), msum, mean, sump(0:1
     127,0:127),hign,low,try(-1:128,-1:128),max,min
   OPEN FILES
C
      open(unit=1,name=*(rathmann.im)park.dat',type='old',access='dir
     ;ect'/recordsize=32/maxrec=128)
       ppen(unit=2,name='frathmann.imlarino8.dat',type='nex',access='dire
C
      ict',recordsize=32,maxrec=128)
C
      open(3,file='(rathmann.jatalncfilco3a.jat',status='olj')
```

```
C DEFINE PARAMETERS
      index1=+1
      index2=12ª
      rsize=127
      csize=127
      fsize=1
      mfsize=-l+fsize
      max=255.000
      min=0.030
C READ FILTER PARAMETERS INTO AN ARRAY
      do 10 i=mfsize/fsize
        do 20 j=nfsize,fsize
           read(3,25) coef(1,j)
   25
           format(d20.12)
   20
        continue
   10 continue
   READ IMAGE TO BE FILTERED INTO AN ARRAY AND CONVERT TO INTEGER
Ċ.
      do 30 i=0, rsize
        nead(1'i+1) (a(n),n=0,127)
        do 40 j=0, csize
        bim(i,j)=a(j)
   40
       continue
   30 continua
   Call Syteint(Simintes)
CONVERT THE INTEGER ARRAY INTO A REAL ARRAY AND COMPUTE THE MEAN
С
      nsu=0.0d0
      high=-1000.030
      104=10000.040
      to 50 i=0, rsize
        do 50 j=0, csize
           in(i,j)=float(inteo(i,j))
           nsun=in(i,j)+nsum
           if(im(i,j).st.nian) nish=im(i,j)
           if(im(1,j). 11. 10+1 10+=1m(1,j)
   50
        continue
   50 continua
      mean=msjm/(124.0*128.0)
      write(*,55)mean,high,low
   55 format(' original image data- mean=",d12.5," high=",d12.5," low="d
     +12.5)
   SURTRACT THE MEAN FROM THE DATA
С
      35 70 i=0,127
        70 -0 1=0,127
          1 r (i,j)=1 n (i,j)=mean
        continue
   80
   70 continue
  FILTER THE IMAGE ARRAY
C
      Szehni, Izebnien 06 cb
        do 100 m=index1, index2
           1su==).010
           to 110 i=nfsize,fsize
             do 120 j=mfsize,fsize
               row=n-i
               col=m-j
               if((row.lt.0).or.(col.lt.0)) as to 120
               if((row.at.127).or.(col.at.127)) as to 120
               dsum=(in(row.col)+coef(i,j))+dsum
  120
             continue
  110
           continue
           try(n,m)=dsum
  100
        continue
   90 continue
  CALL SUBROUTINE TO INVERSE FILTER FILTERED IMAGE ARRAY
c
       call nonc(trv/im)
c
```

#### NONCAUSAL (CONT.)

```
C ADD THE MEAN OF THE INPUT IMAGE TO THE FILTERED RESULT
      do 145 i=0, rsize
        do 155 j=0, size
          in(i,j)=try(i,j)+mean
  155
        continue
  145 continue
  CREATE AN IMAGE DATA FILE
c
      call supintfile(im)
   SCALE THE RESULTING IMAGE ARRAY AND CONVERT TO BYTE FORM
C
      call scale(in, inteq, max, min)
      call intbyte(intep; pim)
   WRITE THE GENERATED IMAGE INTO A FILE
c
      as 150 i=0, rsize
        do 160 j=0,csize
          a(j)=oim(i,j)
  160
        continue
        write(2'i+1) (a(n), n=0, rsize)
  150 continue
C CLOSE FILES
      close(unit=1)
      close(unit=2)
      close(3)
      5100
      end
```

#### CONV

```
THIS PROJRAM GENERATES AN IMAGE TEXTURE USING A NONCAUSAL FIR FILTER
С
     HOSE PARAMETERS ARE DETAINED FROM A DATA FILE. THE FILTER IS
C
     APPLIED TO AN IMAGE. SUBROUTINES SCALE, INTERTE, AND BYTEINT ARE
USED TO PREPARE IMAGE DATA ARRAYS FOR DISPLAY.
5
0
c
   DEFINE VARIABLES
0
      byte a(2:127), oim(0:127, 0:127)
      integer n, rsize, csize, i, j, row, col, integ(0:127,0:127), fsize, mfsize
      real*6 dsun, in(0:127,0:127), coef(-11:11,-11:11), msun, mean, dump(0:1
     :27,0:127)
   OPEN FILES
C
      open(unit=1,name='{rathmann.im]arim53.dat'; type='old'; access='dire
     :ct',recordsize=32,maxrec=128)
      open(unit=2,name='(rathmann.in)arim59.dat',type='new',access='dire
     ict', necondsize=32, maxnes=128)
      open(3,file='lrathmann.datalconv21.dat',status='old')
   DEFINE PARAMETERS
С
      rs1z==127
      cs1ze=127
      fsize=11
      mfsize=+l+fsize
   READ FILTER PARAMETERS INTO AN ARRAY
c
      do 10 ismtsize,fsize
        do 20 j=nfsizerfsize
           read(3,251 coef(i,j)
   25
           (12.05r) terret
   20
        Continue
   10 continue
  READ IMAGE TO BE FILTERED INTO AN ARRAY AND CONVERT TO INTEGER
c
      00 30 1=0, rsize
        read(1'i+1) (a(n),n=0,127)
        do 40 j=0.csize
        bi\pi(i,j)=a(j)
   40
        continue
   30 continue
      call systematic invintes)
```

## CONV (CONT.)

```
C CONVERT THE INTEGER ARRAY INTO A REAL ARRAY AND COMPUTE THE MEAN
       msu=1.0d0
       05 50 i=0, rsize
         no 50 j=0,csize
           in(i,j)=float(inteo(i,j))
           msun=im(i,j)+msum
    50
        Continue
    50 continue
       mean=msum/float((rsize+1)+(csize+1))
       write(+,55)mean
   (51.05r) tormat ( 120.12)
   SURTRACT THE MEAN FROM THE THAGE ARRAY
c
       do 70 i=0, esize
         do an j=0,csize
           1n(i,j)=1n(i,j)=mean
   80
        continue
  70 CONTINUE
FILTER THE THAGE ARRAY
C
      do 90 n=0, rsize
        do 107 m=0,=size
          dsu==0.0d0
          ap 110 i=nfsize,fsize
             05 120 j=ntsize,fsize
              row=n=1
               col=m-j
               if((row.lt.0).or.(col.lt.0)) as to 120
              if((row.at.127).or.(col.at.127)) or to 120
              dsum=(1m(row,col)*coef(i,j))+dsum
  150
            continue
  110
          continue
          duro(n.m)=dsum
  100
       continue
   al continue
 SCALE THE RESULTING IMAGE ARRAY AND CONVERT TO BYTE FORM
с
      call scale(numo, integ)
      call intovte(intea.sim)
C WRITE THE SEMERATED IMAGE INTO A FILE
      do 157 i=0, rsize
        do 150 j=0, csize
          a(j)=sim(i,j)
        continue
  150
        write(2'i+1) (a(n), n=0, rsize)
 150 continue
 CLOSE FILES
С
      close(unit=1)
      close(unit=2)
      close(3)
      stop
      end
```

## SPECOR2

```
C THIS PROGRAM SCLVES EQUATIONS FOR SPECTRAL CONTENT AND CORRELATION
    IN ONE DIRECTION OF A GIVEN AUTOREGRESSIVE IMAGE MODEL. IT WRITES
С
   THESE RESULTS TO DEVICES 3 AND 4 RESPECTIVELY.
С
C DEFINE VARIABLES
      INTEGER I.K
      REAL=8 PI.ALPHA.THETA.H.ALPHAS.SXW.RXK(-49:49).Z.ALPHAK
C DEFINE PARAMETERS
      PI=3.141592654
      ALPHA=0.999999
      ALPHAS + ALPHA + +2
C START X AXIS LOOP AND DEFINE X VALUES
     DO IO 1=0.99
       W=(-1.0+PI)+(2.0+PI+(FLOAT(I)/99.0))
C DO SPECTRAL ANALYSIS
       SXW=1.0/(I.0-(2.0=ALPHA=COS(W))+ALPHAS)
       WRITE(3.15)W.SXW
  IS FORMAT(F10.5.1X.F10.5)
  10 CONTINUE
                                                                      . -
C DC CORRELATION FUNCTION SOLUTION
     DO 20 K+0.49
       ALPHAK = ALPHA = = K
       RXK(K)=(ALPHAK/(1.0-ALPHAS))
       I=-1=K
       RXK(I)=RXK(K)
  20 CONTINUE
     DC 30 K=-49.49
      Z=FLOAT(K)
      WRITE(4.25)Z.RXK(K)
  25 FORMAT(F10.0.1X.F30.15)
  30 CONTINUE
    STOP
             -
     END
```

## SPECOR3

.

```
C THIS PROGRAM SOLVES EQUATIONS FOR SPECTRAL CONTENT AND CORRELATION
     IN ONE DIRECTION OF A GIVEN AUTOREGRESSIVE IMAGE MODEL. IT WRITES
 C
     THESE RESULTS TO DEVICES 3 AND 4 RESPECTIVELY.
 С
 C DEFINE VARIABLES
      INTEGER I.J.M
       REAL=8 PI.ALPHA.THETA.ALPHAS.ALSPA.ALPHAS.ALPHAG.THOTH.COS2T.H.A.B
      *.COS2W.SXW1.SXW.K.ALPHAK.KTHETA.ALPH2K.KP2THP.KTMP.INTERN.RXK(-49:
      +49).2
 C DEFINE PARAMETERS
      PI=3.141592654
      ALPHA=0.9
       THETA=0.0=(PI/I2.0)
       IF(THETA.EQ.0.0) THETA=0.000001
      ALPHAS #ALPHA##S
      ALSPA=ALPHAS+ALPHA
      ALPHAS=ALPHA==2
      AL PHACEAL PHARES
      COS2T+COS(2.0+THETA)
      THOTH=2.0=THETA
C START X AXIS LOOP AND DEFINE X VALUES
      DO 10 I=0.99
С
C
        W=(-1.0=PI)+(2.0=PI=(FLOAT(I)/99.0))
C DO SPECTRAL ANALYSIS
с
        ATTHETA-N
с
        B=THETA+W
С
        COS2W=COS(2.0=W)
С
        SXW1=ALPHAS-(COS(A)=AL3PA)+(ALPHAS=COS2T)-(COS(B)=AL3PA)+(ALPHAS
с
     *******
С
        SXW=1.0/(I.0+ALPHA4+(2.0=SXW1))
с
        WRITE(3.15)W.SXW
C 15 FORMAT(F10.5.1X.F10.5)
C 10 CONTINUE -
C DO CORRELATION FUNCTION SOLUTION
        DO 30 J=0.49
          K=FLOAT(J)
          ALPHAK #ALPHA##J
          KTHETA=K=THETA
          KP2THP+((2.0+K)+THETA)-PI
          KTHP #KTHETA-PI
          INTERM=(COS(KP2TMP)-(ALPHAS=COS(KTMP)))/(1.0+ALPHA4-(2.0=ALPHA
     #S#COS(TWOTH)))
          RXK(J)=(ALPHAK/(2.0=(SIN(THETA)==2)))=((COS(KTHETA)/(1.0-ALPHA
     *S))+INTERM)
          60 TO 36
C 35
          RXK(J)=(ALPHAK*(2.0+K))/(2.0+((1.0-ALPHAS)**2))
          He-14J
   36
          RXK(M)=RXK(J)
   30 CONTINUE
      DO 40 J=-49,49
        Z=FLOAT(J)
        WRITE(4.45)2.RXK(J)
      FORMAT(F10.1.1X.F30.15)
   45
   40 CONTINUE
     STOP
      END
```

# SPECOR3A

```
C THIS PROGRAM SOLVES EQUATIONS FOR SPECTRAL CONTENT AND CORRELATION
    IN ONE DIRECTION OF A GIVEN AUTOREGRESSIVE IMAGE MODEL. IT WRITES
C
С
   THESE RESULTS TO DEVICES 3 AND 4 RESPECTIVELY.
C DEFINE VARIABLES
      INTEGER I.J.M
      REAL=8 PI.A.B.AB.AMBS.AS.BS.AK1.AK2.BK1.BK2.INTERM.RXK(-49:49).Z.A
     #K.KP2.KP1.OPAS.OHAS
C DEFINE PARAMETERS
      PI=3.141592654
      A=-0.9
      8=-0.89999
      AB=A=B
      AMBS=(A-B)==2
      AS=A==2
      BS=B==2
C START X AXIS LOOP AND DEFINE X VALUES
      DO 10 I=0.99
       W=(-1.0=PI)+(2.0=PI=(FLOAT(I)/99.0))
C DO SPECTRAL ANALYSIS
       COS2H=COS(2.0=H)
       SXW1=1.0-(2.0=(A+B+(AS=B)+(A=BS))=COS(W))+(2.0=A=B=COS2W)
       SXW=1.0/(SXW1+AS+(2.0=A=B)+BS+(AS=BS))
       HRITE(3.15)H.SXH
   15 FORMAT(F10.5.IX.FI0.5)
   10 CONTINUE
C DO CORRELATION FUNCTION SOLUTION
       20 30 J=0.49
         IF(A.EQ.5) GO TO 35
         AKI=AH+(J+1)
         AK2=A==(J+2)
         BK1=B==(J+1)
         BK2=8==(J+2)
         INTERM=(AK2/(1.0-AS)-(((AK1=B)+A=BK1))/(1.0-AB))+(BK2/(1.0-BS))
    *)
         RXK(J)=(1.0/AMBS)=1NTERM
         GO TO 36
  35
         AK=A==J
         KP2 = FLOAT(J+2)
         KP1=FLOAT(J+1)
         OPAS=1.0-AS
         OMAS=1.0-AS
         RXK(J)=((KP2=KP1=AK)/(2.0=OMAS))-((FLOAT(J)=KP1=AK)/(2.0=OPAS)
    = )
  36
         H==1=J
         RXK(M)=RXK(J)
  30 CONTINUE
     DO 40 J=-49.49
       Z=FLOAT(J)
       WRITE(4.45)Z.RXK(J)
  45 FORMAT(F10.1.1X.F30.15)
  40 CONTINUE
     STOP
     END
```

#### PIECE

```
THIS PROJENT TAKES & 128x126 PIECE OIT OF & 512x512 IMAGE DATA FILE
t
      AND PLAJES THAT DATA INTO AN IMAGE FILE.
С
с
C
c
C
   DEFINE VARIABLES
¢
      ovte a(0:511), oim(0:511,0:511), ao(0:127)
   OPEN FILES
с
      open(unit=1,name='(rathmann.im)trees.dat',type='old',access='gire
     tet', necondsize=32, maxnet=128)
      open(unit=2,name='(rathmann.im)trees64.dat',type='new',access='dir
     test'/recordsize=lb/maxrec=b4)
   READ IMAGE TO BE FILTERED INTO AN ARRAY
C
      do 30 i=0,127
        read(1'i+1) (a(n),n=0,127)
        as 40 j=0,127
        bin(i,j)=a(j)
   010
        continue
   30 continue
   WRITE THE DESIRED IMAGE PORTION INTO A FILE
С
      do 150 i=63,120
        do 160 j=63,126
          k=1-63
          ab(c)=bim(i,j)
        continue
  150
        write(211-02) (ap(n), n=0, 63)
  150 continue
  CLOSE FILES
c
      close(unit=1)
      close(unit=2)
      $100
      end
```

#### INTFILE

```
THIS PROGRAM CREATES & 1284128 OR 64464 INTEGER FILE FROM & 1284128
С
¢
     INPUT IMAGE FILE
с
с
¢
с
   DEFINE VARIABLES
С
      ovte a(0:127), bim(0:127,0:127)
       intearr i, j, n, k, I, intea(0:127, 0:127), sur, hian, low
      real+2 mean
   OPEN FILES
Ċ
      open(unit=1,name='(rathmann.im)difar2.dat',type='old',access='d
     inect'/recordsize=32/maxr+c=128)
       open(2,file='leathmann.data)dinwat.dat',status='new')
Ċ
   READ IMAJE TO BE FILTERED INTO A. ARRAY
C
      do 30 i=0,127
        read(['i+1) (a(n), n=0, 127)
        do 40 j=0,127
        oim(i,j)=a(j)
   40
        Continue
   30 continue
  CONVERT THE BYTE ARRAY INTO AN INTEGER ARRAY
Ċ
      call syteint(sim/intes)
```

# INTFILE (CONT.)

с	COMPLITE HIGH AND LOW VALUES OF THE IMAGE DATA AND WRITE TO TERMINAL
	low=255
	ap 31 i=0,127
	do 32 j=0,127
	if(intea(i,j), lt.low) low=intea(i,j)
	if(inteq(i,i),qt,niqh) $niqh=inteq(i,i)$
	32 continue
	31 5001304
	weite(4,33)hishilaw
	33 format(2))
~	COMPLIE MEAN OF INTEGER ARRAY AND SUBTRACT IT FROM THE DATA
2	
2	
2	
2	
5	
C	
C	
C	mean=fljat(Sum)/(lon-"*log-U)
C	
с	54 format(110,e10.5)
С	35 35 1=0,127
С	30 35 (12)
с	intes(1,))=intes(1,))=int(rean+0.5)
c	45 continue
С	
С	ARTIE INTEGER ARRAY INTO A 125K128 GATA FILE
c	k = 1 2 4
с	1=12
С	writ±(2,33)k,1
c	37 format(215)
с	jo 50 i=0,127
с	do on j=0,112,15
ς	anite(2,70)intea(1,j),intea(1,i+1),intea(i,j+2),intea(1,j+3),i
с	<pre>*ntea(1,j+4),intea(1,j+5),intea(1,j+b),intea(1,j+7),intea(1,i+8),in</pre>
c	<pre>*tea(1,j+9),integ(i,j+10),integ(1,j+11),integ(1,j+12),integ(1,j+13)</pre>
:	*, integ(i, j+14), integ(i, j+15)
с	7) format(1515)
с	60 continue
c	50 continue
с	CLOSE FILES
	close(unit=1)
	close(unit=2)
	Stop
	end

# TRANS

c	THIS PROGRAM READS DATA DUT DE A FILE IN FREE FORMAT AND CONVERTS
•	integer i.j real#3 a(15)
	open(1,file='Irathmann.jatalajwat.jat',status='old')
	read(1,+);;;;;(1),a(2),a(3),a(4),a(5),a(0),a(7),a(8),a(9),a(10),a(
	*11),a(12),a(13),a(14),a(15),a(16) do 10 k=1,16
	write(2,20)a(k)
	10 continue
	stop end

## NSHP

C	THIS PRUGRAM GENERATES AN TRAGE TEXTIRE USING WHITE NOISE AS AN INPUT
0	TO AN AUTOREGRESSIVE FILTER VHOSE PARAMETERS ARE OBTATIVED FROM THE
c	FILE FILTOEF, SUBROUTINE PRANSS IS USED TO GENERATE THE INPUT WHITE
c	NOISE AND SUBRIUTIVES SCALE AND INTERTE ARE ISED TO PREPARE IMAGE
-	DATA ARRAYS FOR DISPLAY.
2	
	DESTUE VARIABLES
6	$(2^{+})^{-1}$
	integer nyseedyrsize/csize/oml/nml/i////Pl/row/col/integl0:127,0:1
	real*5 vall,val2, an(0:12/,0:12/), dsum, in(0:12/,0:127), coef(-2:9, -2
С	OPEN FILES
	open(unit=1,name='lrathmann.datal=n.dat'/type='new',access='direct
	(',recordsize=32,maxrec=128)
	open(unit=2,name="[rathmann.data]rotate.dat",type='new",access='di
	(rect',records)ze=32,maxres=128)
	open(3,file='[rathmann.datalfc3a.dat',status='old']
c	open(4,file='(rathmann.data)ts.dat',status='new')
c	DEFINE PARAMETERS
	seed=1234567
	rsize=127
	csize=127
	or1=2
	an1=4
	mom1=+1 epr1
С	CRENTE NHITE NUISE ARRAY
	as 10 i=0,rsize
	ap 20 j=0,csize-1
	jo1=j+1
	call prauss(seeg,vall,val2)
	wh (1, j) = val 1
	who (injol) = val2
	10 continue
с	SCALE ARRAY HU AND CONVERT TO BYTE FORM
	call scale(wn, integ)
	call intevte(interpin)
с	ARITE THE WHITE VOISE IMAGE ARRAY TO A FILE
	ap 30 i=0, rsize
	do 40 j=0, csize
	a(i) = 0.1 m(1, i)
	40 continue
	-rite(1+i+1) = (a(n), n=0, rsize)
	30 continue
с	READ FILTER PARAMETERS INTO AN ARRAY
	ab 50 (=0, apl
	r = a = (3, 55) coef(1, i)
	$55 = f_{2}r_{nat}(-22, -12)$
	50 CONTINUE
	50 50 1114
c	APPLY ANTER DISE TO THE AUTOREGRESSIVE FUTEP
-	
	do 120 pequesize
	$if(1, e_{2}, 0), a_{2}d, (1, e_{3}, 2), a_{2} + a_{1}dd$
	$if(c_{2}, \ldots, t_{n}) = 0$ or $(c_{2}, \ldots, t_{n})$ and $(t_{n}, \ldots, t_{n})$
	$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}$
	110 בסרוזטי

## NSHP (CONT.)

```
SCALE THE RESULTING IMAGE ARRAY AND CONVERT TO BYTE FORM
c
      call scale(im, integ)
      call intovte(intearcim)
   ARITE THE SEVERATED IMAGE INTO A FILE
с
      do 150 i=0, nsize
        do 160 j=0, size
          a(j)=pim(i,j)
  100
        continue
        write(2'i+1) (a(n),n=0,rsize)
  150 continue
C CLOSE FILES
      close(unit=1)
      close(unit=2)
      close(3)
      close(4)
с
      stoo
      end
```

#### PGAUSS

```
SURROUTINE PSAUSS(4,21,22)

REAL+B A,B,21,22

INTESER+4 4

A = SORT(-2.0D0 + ALOG(RAN(K)))

B = 5.28318500 + RAN(K)

Z1 = A + COS(B)

Z2 = A + SIN(B)

RETURN

END
```

#### SCALE

```
suprouting scale(anniintea, maximin)
   THIS SUBROUTIVE SCALES AN ARRAY TO INTEGER VALUES HETNEEN & STVEN
с
¢
     PUPIE AND ALVING
   DEFINE VARIABLES
с
      integen integ(0:127,0:127),i,i
      real+3 arr(0:127,0:127),hinn,low,mean,max,min
   PERFORA SCALING
c
      D135=-10000.030
      1 3 = = 1 7 0 7 0 . 0 10
      do 10 i=0,127
        do 20 j=0,127
          if(arr(1,j).le.low) low=arr(1,j)
          if(arrfi,j).a..nian) hinn=arr(1,j)
          sum=arr(i,j)+sum
   20
      continue
   10 continue
      mean=su+/(128.0+128.0)
      white(+,25)high, low, mean
   25 format(' prescaled image data- high=',d12.5,' lo==',d12.5,' mean='
     (1312.5)
  CONTINUE SCALING AND CONVERT TO INTEGER FORM
c
      do 30 i=0,127
        35 40 j=0,127
          integ(1, j)=int(((arr(i, j)-low)*((nar-min)/(mign-low)))+0.5d0)
          int=g(i,j)=intea(i,j)+int(min)
   10
        continu-
   30 continue
```

# SCALE (CONT.)

```
CALCILATE HIGH, LON, AND MEAN OF SCALED IMAGE
С
      SJN=0.040
      h13h=-10000.000
      10-=13070.040
      as 50 1=0,127
        do 50 j=0,127
          if(float(inteo(i,j)).st.high) high=float(integ(i,j))
          if(floar(inreg(i,i)).lt.low) low=float(integ(i,j))
          sum=float(intep(i,j))+sum
  60
       Continue
  50 continue
     mean=sum/(128.0+128.0)
     write(+,65)hign, low, mean
  65 format(' scaled image data- high='/dl2.5/' low='/dl2.5/' mean='/dl
    :2.5)
     return
     end
```

INTBYTE

```
suproutine intovte(integrain)
   THIS SUBROUTINE TAKES AN INTEGER APRAY AND CONVERTS IT INTO A
c
   PYTE ARAY
DEFINE VARIABLES
С
с
      integer 1, j, integ(0:127, 0:127), n
   DVT = Din(0:127.0:127)
PERFORM CONVERSION
с
      n = 0
      Jo 10 1=0,127
        00 20 j=0,127
          if((integ(i,j).)t.0).pr.(integ(i,j).gt.255)) n=n+1
          if(intes(1,j).11.0) sim(1,j)=-128
          if(intes(i,j).st.255) bim(i,j)=127
          if((intea(i,j).le.127).ang.(integ(i,j).ge.0)) pim(i,j)=
     (integ(i,j)
          if((integ(i,j).st.127).and.(integ(i,j).le.255)) bim(i,j)=
     ;integ(1,1)=255
   20
      continue
   10 continue
      write(+,30) n
   30 format(' THE NUMBER OF POINTS OUT OF RANGE IS', 15)
      return
      end
```

### BYTEINT

```
suproutine pyteint(oim/inteo)
   THIS PROGRAM TAKES A HYTE ARRAY AND CUNVERTS IT INTO AN INTEGER ARRAY
c
c
   DEFINE VARIABLES
с
      integer integ(0:127,0:127),i,j,n
      Dvt = Din(0:127,0:127)
   PERFORM CONVERSION
с
      n = 0
      35 10 1=0,127
        do 20 j=0,127
          if((pim(i,j).1t.-123).or.(pim(i,j).at.127)) n=n+1
          if(jin(i,j).lt.-128) inten(i,j)=-128
          if(jim(i,j).at.127) intea(i,j)=127
          if((bim(i,j).ge.=129).and.(bim(i,j).1t.0)) intea(i,j)=
     10im(i,j)+250
          if((bim(i,j).ae.0).anj.(bim(i,j).le.127)) inteq(i,j)=bim(i,j)
  20
      continue
   10 continue
      write(+,30)n
   30 format(' THE NUABER OF POINTS OUT OF RANGE IS', IS)
     return
     end
```

## SUBINTFILE

```
suproutine supintfile(im)
   THIS SUBROUTINE CREATER & 1284128 INTEGER DATA FILE FROM FILTERED
С
     TMAGE DATA
C
С
   DEFINE VARIABLES
C
       inteasr i, j. n. K. l. intes(0:127, 0:127), sum
      real++ mean, im(0:127,0:127), high/low
   OPEN FILES
С
      open(1,file='(rathmann.data)dinnar.dat',status='new')
   COMPLIE HIGH AND LOW VALUES OF THE IMAGE DATA AND WRITE TO TERMINAL
C
      hign=-1.035
      10+=1.045
      do 31 1=0,127
        ap 32 j=0,127
          if(im(i,j).lt.low) low=im(i,j)
          if(im(i,j).gt.nign) high=im(i,j)
   32
        continue
   31 continue
       write(*,33)high,10-
   33 format (2320.12)
   COMPUTE YEAN OF INTEGER ARRAY AND SUBTRACT IT FROM THE DATA
с
       sun=0
С
       35 i=1,127
C
с
         10 45 1=3,127
            sum=sum+intea(i,j)
С
    45
С
         continue
    35 continue
С
      mean=flpat(sum)/(128.0+128.0)
с
       write(+,34)sym,mean
С
    34 format(i10,e10.3)
С
с
       do 35 (=0,127
с
         30 45 1=0.127
           integ(i,j)=integ(i,j)=int(mean+0.5)
С
    45
с
         continue
    35 continue
Ċ
```

### SUBINTFILE

CONVERT 1MAGE DATA INTO INTEGER FORM
35 45 i=0,127
do 55 j=0,127
1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 =
55 continue
45 continue
ARTIE INTEGER ARRAY INTO A 124X128 DATA FUE
κ=128
1=128
write(1,39)k,1
39 format(215)
as 50 i=0,127
do 50 j=0,112,15
white $(1, 7n)$ integ $(1, j)$ , integ $(i, j+1)$ , integ $(1, j+2)$ , integ $(i, j+3)$ .
<pre>+ntes(i,j+4),intes(1,j+5),intes(1,j+5),intes(1,j+7),intes(1,j+8),in</pre>
<t+q(1,j+9),intes(1,j+10),intes(1,j+11),intes(1,j+12),intes(1,j+13)< th=""></t+q(1,j+9),intes(1,j+10),intes(1,j+11),intes(1,j+12),intes(1,j+13)<>
++intes(i,i+14),intes(i,i+15)
/U format(loi5)
by continue
Close(unit=1)

#### NONC

```
suproutine nonc(try, in)
   THIS SUBJUTE TAKES AN IMAGE APPAR OUN FILTERS IT WITH A
c
     NUNCAUSA_ FIR FILTER.
с
С
   DEFINE VARIABLES
С
      integer n.rsize.csize.i.j.row.cnl.fsize.mfsize.index1.index2
      real+A jsun, in(0:127,0:127), coef(-10:10,-10:10), msun, mean, jump(-1:
     :128,-1:128), nigh, low, try(0:127,0:127)
  OPEN FILES
С
      open(1,file='(rathmann.data)ncfilco21.dat',status='old')
   DEFINE PARAMETERS
c
      index1=0
      index2=127
      rs12e=127
      cs1ze=127
      fsize=10
      mfsize=-l+fsize
   READ FILTER PARAMETERS INTO AN ARRAY
c
      do 10 immfsize,fsize
        do 20 j=mfsize,fsize
          read(1,25) coef(i,j)
   25
          format(320.12)
   20
       continue
   10 continue
  TAKE THE INPUT ARRAY AND COMPUTE THE MEAN, HIGH, AND LOW VALUES
C
      nsun=).000
      high=-10000.030
      104=10000.040
      do 50 leindex1, intex2
        ap 50 j=index1,index2
          msum=try(i,j)+msum
          if(trv(i,j).gt.high1 high=try(i,j)
          if(try(i,j).lt.low) low=try(i,j)
  60
      continue
   50 continue
      mean=ms_m/float((innex2-index1+1)+(innex2-index1+1))
      write(+,55)mean, high, low
  55 format(' inout image array- mean=',d12.5,' high=',d12.5,' low=',d1
    +2.51
```

```
C FILTER THE IMAGE ARRAY
      Sxecoivlyspore 09 cb
        do 100 m=index1, index2
          0r0.6="uso"
          do 110 i=ntsize,fsize
            do 120 i=nfsize,fsize
              row=n=i
              colen-j
               if((ro=.lt.index1).or.(col.lt.index1)) ap to 120
               if((row.at.innex2).or.(col.at.innex2)) as to 120
              dsum=(try(row,col)+coef(1,j))+dsum
  120
            continue
  110
          continue
          in(n+n)=Jsun
  100
      continue
   90 continue
   CONVERT THE 130X130 ARRAY INTO A 128X128 ARRAY, IF NECESSARY
Jo 130 i=0,127
с
с
         30 140 j=0,127
с
           im(i,j)=dump(i,j)
c
       continue
с
   140
С
  130 continue
      close(1)
      return
      end
```

### MAKMAT

∑ B+mAKHAT.DIG:A.C:D:E.F;G,H,I,J:K.L:M,C > #FMAKMA1.010 010+0 Af 1 11 66 00 Af0:0;0]+4 Af0:0;1]+ 4 DUm+0 -0 DUA+0 5+0 START1+0 START2+1 LOOPD : I+I+1 START1+START1+(I-1) START2+START2+(I+1) 

▼ Y+X CC2 H, I1. I2. DIG, N1, N2. T1, T2 -CID41-Cio A FUNCTION TO DO 2-D CIRCULAR CONVOLUTION →(IA/(OX)=OH)A2=OOKI/PEGIN D+'IMFROFER ARGUMENTS' -+() ECGIN DID+0 N1+110-N2+140X Te(N2,N1)00 Ye(N2,N1790 1140 LOOF1 Ti+(-(Ii+1))ΦΦH I740 LOOF2 T2+(-(I2+i))ΘΘTi Y[I2,Ii]++/+/XXT2 →(N3)I2-I2+().LOOF2 →(N3)I1+I1+17/LOOF2 

## GETDATA

RECTRATIONS OF STREET ALL MOUNTS ALL ALL N FUNCTION TO READ ESTIMATED DATA MECTOR ARAA ETC. SHOM UM. D., A DATA MAY HAVE REEN CARATEM DY ARA SLAT FORTIAN EDITOR ETC. A DUBLERS NEEL NO HAVE DECIMAL FUENTS AND ARE DELIDITED BY STRUES A FIRST RECORD IS SHAFE OF THE DATA HIDHA NAMESANAME, (AZI IMNAME)ZI DATAI REIGNAME (JIAN MAME)ZI DATAI SINAME (JIAN MAME)ZI DATAI REIGNAME (JIAN JIAN NAMESANAME (REIGNAME)ZI MMTAREO NAMESANAME R, 1 23. BELPYING DEVELOPE 1 23. BELPYING DEVELOPE 1 25. BELPYING PERMIT 1 25. ENDERING 1.54 TOST ERREXIT FILE DOED NOT EXIST.

### PUTDATA

> F PUTDATA NAME DID.C., SYSREDF RECONREC, I

[<u>]</u> 0 A FUNCTION TO WEITE DATA (VERTIC) - APPAR, ETC. - IN FREE FORMAT ON IND DISK £1 010---

-----

01: --NADE-NAME, 12 (1 1204ME) (1 0470) C2000 C 

LINE CORECTIVITY IN THE SECOND

#### COVF

▼ R+SIE COVE F, DIO; K; L; L; K; R1 . A FUNCTION TO GENERATE TERMS IN 2D COVARIANCE FON FOR IMAGE F+F-HEAN F DIG+0 L+SIZE[0] K+SIZE[1] K+F1+SIZEP0 F+F1+S12EP0 L=0 L00F1 K+0 L00F2 R[L;K]+(+/+/((L,K)+F)x((-(L,K))+F))-x/PF R1[L;K]+(+/+/((L,-K)+F)x((-(L,-K))+F))-x/PF →(K)K+R+1)/L00F2 →(C)L+L+1)/L00P1 R+(♥ 0 1 +R1),R

1

#### CORR

\* RI4SIZE CORP F.DID:R LIK,L.Y 14 FI. A FENDELCH TO ESTIMATE LE COVAR AMOS FUNCTION FER INAUT -н DIGHO SECTOR SAME F SECTOR A SECTOR A SECTOR A SECTOR SAME 

#### MVLEV

 AAFAVEEV RFT, RT, N:NB; NDIN: AA1; AF; AB: AF1. AB1; EF. EB. GAMF. GAMB; DELT, I. DIG A RET IS THE (MATSIX) CORRELATION EUNCTION: PLANE J CONTAINS B(J). A TO OBTAIN FORWARD PARAMETERS: AFHA4(0):1, BACKWARD ABHAA(1,). A ESROP COVARIANCE EFHAFED, J. FUT AFEG. 141 TO OBTAIN INMOVATIONS A FILTER. LIKEWISE FOR BACKWARD PARAMETERS. . .
#### FF2DLEV

/ A+FTIDLEY M AA.AL CM.2 AV M BIM
// AFFTIDLEY M AA.AL CM.2 AV M BIM
// AFFTIDLEY M TO DO DO DE LEVINSOM RECUBLING = UDTT FUNCTION AVE:// DID+0
// AF+42F57.13
// AF+42F57.13
// AF+42F57.13
// AF+42F57.13
// AF+42F57.14
// AF

#### APPENDIX B

## DERIVATION OF THE POWER SPECTRUM AND AUTOCORRELATION FUNCTION FOR THE TWO POLE AUTOREGRESSIVE MODEL

Power Spectrum

$$|H(e^{j\omega})|^{2} = H(e^{j\omega}) \cdot (H(e^{j\omega}))^{*} = H(e^{j\omega})H(e^{-j\omega})$$

In this case:

$$H(e^{j\omega}) = \frac{1}{1 + \alpha e^{-j\omega}} \qquad H(e^{-j\omega}) = \frac{1}{1 + \alpha e^{j\omega}}$$

Calculating  $H(e^{j\omega})H(e^{-j\omega})$ :

$$\frac{1}{(1+\alpha e^{-j\omega})(1+\alpha e^{j\omega})} = \frac{1}{1+\alpha e^{-j\omega}+\alpha e^{j\omega}+\alpha^2} = \frac{1}{1+2\alpha\cos(\omega)+\alpha^2}$$

The final result is:

$$S_{Y}(\omega) = |H(e^{j\omega})|^{2} = \frac{1}{(1+\alpha^{2})+2\alpha\cos(\omega)}$$
(B.1)

Autocorrelation Function

Starting with H(z) for this case:  

$$H(z) = \frac{1}{1 + \alpha z^{-1}}$$
Per Ref. 7:p. 158:

 $Z^{-1}[H(z)] = h(n) = (-\alpha)^{n} \cdot u(n) \quad \text{for } \alpha < 1$ (u(n) is the unit step function)

Per Ref. 5:pp. 391-395, for the white noise input case:

$$R_{Y}(\ell) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} h(n) \cdot h(n-\ell)$$
(B.2)

Substituting h(n) above into Eq. B.2

$$R_{\mathbf{Y}}(\ell) = \sum_{n=\ell}^{\infty} (-\alpha)^{n} \cdot (-\alpha)^{n-\ell} \qquad \ell \geq 0$$

$$= \frac{1}{(-\alpha)^{\ell}} \sum_{n=\ell}^{\infty} (-\alpha)^{2n} \qquad \ell \geq 0$$

The summation term may also be expressed as:

$$\sum_{n=\ell}^{\infty} (-\alpha)^{2n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-\alpha)^{2n} - \sum_{n=0}^{\ell-1} (-\alpha)^{2n}$$

Per Ref.12:p. 8, the summation terms on the right are equal to:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-\alpha)^{2n} = \frac{1}{1 - (-\alpha)^2} \quad (\alpha < 1) \qquad \sum_{n=0}^{\chi-1} (-\alpha)^{2n} = \frac{1 - (-\alpha)^{2\chi}}{1 - (-\alpha)^2} \quad (\alpha < 1)$$

As a result:

$$\sum_{n=\ell}^{\infty} (-\alpha)^{2n} = \frac{1}{1 - (-\alpha^2)} - \frac{1 - (-\alpha)^{2\ell}}{1 - (-\alpha)^2} = \frac{(-\alpha)^{2\ell}}{1 - (-\alpha)^2} \quad (\alpha < 1)$$

Substituting and using  $(-\alpha)^2 = \alpha^2$  yields:

$$R_{\mathbf{Y}}(\ell) = \frac{1}{(-\alpha)^{\ell}} \cdot \frac{(-\alpha)^{2\ell}}{1-\alpha^2} = \frac{(-\alpha)^{\ell}}{1-\alpha^2} \quad \ell \ge 0 \text{ and } \alpha < 1$$
(B.3)

#### APPENDIX C

# GRAPHICAL RESULTS FOR THE POWER SPECTRUM AND AUTOCORRELATION FUNCTION FOR THE TWO POLE AUTOREGRESSIVE MODEL

Power Spectrum







Autocorrelation Function



ALPHA=0.95 2 R(!) -12 0 80 -50 L ALPHA=0.8 -R(1) 0 ç 50 ė -50 L







### APPENDIX D

# DERIVATION OF THE POWER SPECTRUM AND AUTOCORRELATION FUNCTION FOR THE FOUR POLE AUTOREGRESSIVE MODEL

Power Spectrum

$$|H(e^{j\omega})|^2 = H(e^{j\omega}) \cdot H(e^{-j\omega})$$

In this case:

$$H(e^{j\omega}) = \frac{1}{(1-\alpha e^{-j\theta}e^{j\omega})(1-\alpha e^{j\theta}e^{j\omega})} = \frac{1}{1-\alpha e^{j(\theta+\omega)}-\alpha e^{-j(\theta-\omega)}+\alpha^{2}e^{j2\omega}}$$
$$H(e^{j\omega}) = \frac{1}{(1-\alpha e^{-j\theta}e^{-j\omega})(1-\alpha e^{j\theta}e^{-j\omega})} = \frac{1}{1-\alpha e^{-j(\theta+\omega)}-\alpha e^{j(\theta-\omega)}+\alpha^{2}e^{-j2\omega}}$$

Multiplying the above expressions yields:

$$H(e^{j\omega})H(e^{-j\omega}) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha e^{j(\theta-\omega)}-\alpha e^{-j(\theta+\omega)}+\alpha^2 e^{-j2\omega}-\alpha e^{j(\theta+\omega)}+\alpha^2 e^{j2\theta}+\alpha^2} - \alpha^3 e^{j(\theta-\omega)}-\alpha e^{-j(\theta-\omega)}+\alpha^2 + \alpha^2 e^{-j2\theta}-\alpha^3 e^{-j(\theta-\omega)} + \alpha^2 e^{j2\omega}-\alpha^3 e^{j(\theta+\omega)}-\alpha^3 e^{-j(\theta+\omega)}+\alpha^4$$

Combining terms:

$$H(e^{j\omega}) \cdot H(e^{-j\omega}) = \frac{1}{1+2\alpha^2 + \alpha^4 - \alpha^3 (e^{-j(\theta-\omega)} + e^{j(\theta-\omega)}) + \alpha^2 (e^{j2\theta} + e^{-j2\theta})}$$
$$- \alpha^3 (e^{j(\theta+\omega)} + e^{-j(\theta+\omega)}) + \alpha^2 (e^{j2\omega} + e^{-j2\omega})$$
$$- \alpha (e^{j(\theta-\omega)} + e^{-j(\theta-\omega)}) - \alpha (e^{j(\theta+\omega)} + e^{-j(\theta+\omega)})$$

Using Euler's relation and combining terms:

$$H(e^{j\omega}) \cdot H(e^{-j\omega}) = \frac{1}{1+\alpha^4 + 2(\alpha^2 - \cos(\theta - \omega) [\alpha^3 + \alpha] + \alpha^2 \cos(2\theta) - \cos(\theta + \omega)} \times [\alpha^3 + \alpha] + \alpha^2 \cos(2\omega))}$$

109

Using  $\cos(\theta - \omega) + \cos(\theta + \omega) = 2\cos(\theta)\cos(\omega)$ , and since  $S_y(\omega) = H(e^{j\omega}) \cdot H(e^{-j\omega}) \cdot \sigma^2$ , with  $\sigma^2 = 1$  the final result is:

$$S_{Y}(\omega) = \frac{1}{1 + \alpha^{4} + 2(\alpha^{2} - 2[\alpha^{3} - \alpha]\cos(\theta)\cos(\omega) + \alpha^{2}(\cos(2\theta) + \cos(2\omega)))}$$
(D.1)

# Autocorrelation Function

$$H(z) = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha e^{j\theta} z^{-1} - \alpha e^{-j\theta} z^{-1} + \alpha^{2} z^{-2}} = \frac{1}{1 - (e^{j\theta} + e^{-j\theta}) z^{-1} + \alpha^{2} z^{-2}}$$

Using Euler's relation:

$$H(z) = \frac{1}{1 - 2\alpha \cos(\theta) z^{-1} + \alpha^2 z^{-2}} |z| > |\alpha|$$

Per Ref. 7:pp. 204-216, partial fraction expansion can be used to find the inverse Z transform. To do so H(z) can be expressed in the form:

$$H(z) = \frac{1}{(1-\alpha e^{-j\theta}z^{-1})(1-\alpha e^{j\theta}z^{-1})} = \frac{z}{(z-\alpha e^{-j\theta})(z-\alpha e^{j\theta})}$$

Using the partial fraction expansion and table look up [Ref. 7:p. 158] yields:

$$h(n) = \frac{\alpha^{n}}{\sin(\theta)} \cos(n\theta + \theta - \frac{\pi}{2}) \cdot u(n) \text{ for } \alpha < 1$$
 (D.2)

Since  $\cos(\theta - \frac{\pi}{2}) = \sin(\theta)$ , the final expression for h(n) is:

$$h(n) = \frac{\alpha^{n}}{\sin(\theta)} \sin((n+1)\theta) \cdot u(n) \qquad \alpha < 1 \qquad (D.3)$$

For simplicity in further derivation of  $R_y(\ell)$  based on h(n), Eq. D.2 will be used.

Using the expression for the autocorrelation function of a random process represented by the above filter with a white noise input [Ref. 4:pp. 391-395]:

$$R_{\mathbf{Y}}(\ell) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} h(n) \cdot h(n-\ell) = \frac{\alpha^{-\ell}}{\sin^{2}(\theta)} \sum_{n=\ell}^{\infty} \alpha^{2n} \cdot \cos(n\theta + \theta - \frac{\pi}{2})$$
$$\cdot \cos((n-\ell)\theta + \theta - \frac{\pi}{2})$$
(D.4)

n =  $\ell$  in the summation index since h(n) is causal.  $\ell$  is assumed to be greater than zero here. For  $\ell < 0$ ,  $R_y(\ell) = R_y(-\ell)$  by symmetry of the autocorrelation function [Ref. 5:p. 388], so we can proceed assuming only positive values of  $\ell$ .

Using the trigonometric identity for a product of cosines:

$$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{y}}(\ell) = \frac{\alpha^{-\ell}}{\sin^{2}(\theta)} \sum_{n=\ell}^{\infty} \alpha^{2n} \left[\frac{1}{2} \cdot \cos(\ell\theta) + \frac{1}{2}\cos(2n\theta - \ell\theta + 2\theta - \pi)\right] \quad \ell \geq 0$$

$$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{Y}}(\ell) = \frac{\cos(\ell\theta) \cdot \alpha^{-\ell}}{2\sin^2(\theta)} \cdot \sum_{n=\ell}^{\infty} \alpha^{2n} + \frac{\alpha^{-\ell}}{2\sin^2(\theta)} \sum_{n=\ell}^{\infty} \alpha^{2n} \cdot (2n\theta - \ell\theta + 2\theta - \pi) \quad (D.5)$$

l > 0

Using  $\sum_{n=\ell}^{\infty} \rightarrow \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} - \sum_{n=0}^{\ell-1}$  and standard geometric progression identities [Ref.]2:p.8]:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha^{n} = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\ell-1} \alpha^{n} = \frac{1-\alpha^{\ell}}{1-\alpha}$$

For the first term in  $R_y(\ell)$ 

$$\sum_{n=\ell}^{\infty} \alpha^{2n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha^{2n} - \sum_{n=0}^{\ell-1} \alpha^{2n} = \frac{1}{1-\alpha^2} - \frac{1-\alpha^{2\ell}}{1-\alpha^2}$$
$$= \frac{\alpha^{2\ell}}{1-\alpha^2}$$
(D.6)

For the second term in  $R_v(\ell)$ :

let 
$$\phi = - \ell \theta + 2\theta - \pi$$

Using Euler's relation:

$$\cos(2n\theta+\phi) = \frac{e^{j(2n\theta+\phi)} + e^{-j(2n\theta+\phi)}}{2}$$

$$\sum_{n=\ell}^{\infty} \alpha^{2n} \cdot \cos(2n\theta+\phi) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=\ell}^{\infty} \alpha^{2n} [e^{j(2n\theta+\phi)} + e^{-j(2n\theta+\phi)}]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=\ell}^{\infty} \alpha^{2n} \cdot e^{j(2n\theta+\phi)} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=\ell}^{\infty} \alpha^{2n} \cdot e^{-j(2n\theta+\phi)}$$
(D.7)

For large n, it is evident that the  $\alpha^{2n}$  term will tend to make the term in each sum approach 0 for  $\alpha < 1$ , and thus ensures convergence and a closed form expression for each term. Pursuing the mathematics required to find this closed form expression we have:

$$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{n=\ell}^{\infty} \alpha^{2n} \cdot e^{j(2n\theta + \phi)} = \frac{e^{j\phi}}{2} \sum_{n=\ell}^{\infty} (\alpha e^{j\theta})^{2n} = \frac{e^{j\phi}}{2} \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\alpha e^{j\theta})^{2n} - \sum_{n=0}^{\ell-1} (\alpha e^{j\theta})^{2n}\right]$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=\ell}^{\infty} \alpha^{2n} \cdot e^{j(2n\theta + \phi)} = \frac{e^{j\phi}}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{1 - (\alpha e^{j\theta})^2} - \frac{1 - (\alpha e^{j\theta})^{2\ell}}{1 - (\alpha e^{j\theta})^2} \right]$$
$$= \frac{\alpha^{2\ell} \cdot e^{j(2\theta\ell + \phi)}}{2(1 - (\alpha e^{j\theta})^2)}$$
(D.8)

For the conjugate term we must have:

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=\ell}^{\infty} \alpha^{2n} \cdot e^{-j(2n\theta + \phi)} = \frac{\alpha^{2\ell} \cdot e^{-j(2\theta\ell + \phi)}}{2(1 - (\alpha e^{-j\theta})^2)}$$
(D.9)

Next a common denominator must be found to sum these two terms:

$$\frac{\alpha^{2\ell} \cdot e^{j(2\theta\ell + \phi)}}{2(1 - (\alpha e^{j\theta})^2)} \cdot \frac{(1 - (\alpha e^{-j\theta})^2)}{(1 - (\alpha e^{-j\theta})^2)} = \frac{\alpha^{2\ell} e^{j(2\theta\ell + \phi)} - \alpha^{(2\ell+2)} e^{j(2\theta\ell - 2\theta + \phi)}}{2(1 - (\alpha e^{j\theta})^2 - (\alpha e^{-j\theta})^2 + \alpha^4)}$$

$$\frac{\alpha^{2\ell} \cdot e^{-j(2\theta\ell+\phi)}}{2(1-(\alpha e^{-j\theta})^2)} \cdot \frac{(1-(\alpha e^{j\theta})^2)}{(1-(\alpha e^{j\theta})^2)} = \frac{\alpha^{2\ell} e^{-j(2\theta\ell+\phi)} - \alpha^{(2\ell+2)} e^{-j(2\theta\ell-2\theta+\phi)}}{2(1-(\alpha e^{j\theta})^2 - (\alpha e^{-j\theta})^2 + \alpha^4)}$$

By Euler's relation:

$$1 - (\alpha e^{j\theta})^2 - (\alpha e^{-j\theta})^2 + \alpha^4 = 1 - 2\alpha^2 \cos(2\theta) + \alpha^4$$

Adding the terms with the common denominator yields:

$$\frac{\alpha^{2\ell} \left[e^{j(2\theta\ell+\phi)} - \alpha^2 e^{j(2\theta\ell-2\theta+\phi)} + e^{-j(2\theta\ell+\phi)} - \alpha^2 e^{-j(2\theta\ell-2\theta+\phi)}\right]}{2(1 - 2\alpha^2 \cos(2\theta) + \alpha^4)}$$

Again using Euler's relation the above expression reduces to:

$$\frac{\alpha^{2\ell} \left[\cos\left(2\theta\ell + \phi\right) - \alpha^2 \cos\left(2\theta\ell - 2\theta + \phi\right)\right]}{1 - 2\alpha^2 \cos\left(2\theta\right) + \alpha^4}$$
(D.10)

which is the sum of the last two terms in Eq. (D.7). Substituting Eq. (D.10) and Eq. (D.6) into Eq. (D.5) yields:

$$R_{Y}(\ell) = \frac{\cos(\ell\theta) \cdot \alpha^{-\ell}}{2\sin^{2}(\theta)} [\frac{\alpha^{2\ell}}{1-\alpha^{2}}] + \frac{\alpha^{-\ell}}{2\sin^{2}(\theta)} [\frac{\alpha^{2\ell} [\cos(2\theta\ell+\phi) - \alpha^{2}\cos(2\theta\ell-2\theta+\phi)]}{1-2\alpha^{2}\cos(2\theta) + \alpha^{4}}$$
(D.11)

Combining and canceling terms and substituting for  $\phi$  and noticing that the same result must hold for  $\ell$  < 0 we have:

$$R_{y}(\ell) = \frac{\alpha^{\ell}}{2\sin^{2}(\theta)} \left[\frac{\cos(|\ell|\theta)}{1-\alpha^{2}} + \frac{\cos((2+|\ell|)\theta-\pi) - \alpha^{2}\cos(|\ell|\theta-\pi)}{1-2\alpha^{2}\cos(2\theta) + \alpha^{4}}\right]$$
(D.12)

#### APPENDIX E

#### GRAPHICAL RESULTS FOR THE POWER SPECTRUM AND AUTOCORRELATION FUNCTION FOR THE FOUR POLE AUTOREGRESSIVE MODEL

Power Spectrum













ALPHA=0.6 THETA=5\*PI/6 ALPHA=0.5 THETA=PI/10



#### APPENDIX F

## DERIVATION OF THE POWER SPECTRUM AND AUTOCORRELATION FUNCTION FOR THE FOUR POLE AUTOREGRESSIVE MODEL (WITH TWO POLES ON THE REAL AXIS)

# Power Spectrum

Using initial results from Appendix D with the necessary modifications (including  $\theta = 0$ ) we have:

$$H(e^{j\omega}) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha_{a}e^{j\omega}-\alpha_{b}e^{j\omega}+\alpha_{a}\alpha_{b}e^{j2\omega}}$$
$$H(e^{j\omega}) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha_{a}e^{-j\omega}-\alpha_{b}e^{-j\omega}+\alpha_{a}\alpha_{b}e^{-j2\omega}}$$

Therefore:

$$H(e^{j\omega}) \cdot H(e^{-j\omega}) = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha_{a}e^{-j\omega} - \alpha_{b}d^{-j\omega} + \alpha_{a}\alpha_{b}e^{-j2\omega} - \alpha_{a}e^{j\omega} + \alpha_{a}^{2} + \alpha_{a}\alpha_{b}}$$
$$- \alpha_{a}^{2}\alpha_{b}e^{-j\omega} - \alpha_{b}e^{j\omega} + \alpha_{a}\alpha_{b} + \alpha_{b}^{2} - \alpha_{a}\alpha_{b}^{2}e^{-j\omega}$$
$$+ \alpha_{a}\alpha_{b}e^{j2\omega} - \alpha_{a}^{2}\alpha_{b}e^{j\omega} - \alpha_{a}\alpha_{b}^{2}e^{j\omega} + \alpha_{a}^{2}\alpha_{b}^{2}$$

Combining terms and using Euler's relation:

$$H(e^{j\omega}) \cdot H(e^{-j\omega}) = \frac{1}{1 - (\alpha_a + \alpha_b + \alpha_a^2 \alpha_b + \alpha_a \alpha_b^2) \cdot 2\cos(\omega) + 2\alpha_a \alpha_b \cos(2\omega) + \alpha_a^2} + 2\alpha_a \alpha_b + \alpha_b^2 + \alpha_a^2 \alpha_b^2}$$

Assuming  $\sigma^2 = 1$  and since  $S_y(\omega) = H(e^{j\omega}) \cdot H(e^{-j\omega}) \cdot \sigma^2$ , the final result is:

$$S_{\mathbf{y}}(\omega) = \frac{1}{1 - 2(\alpha_{a} + \alpha_{b} + \alpha_{a}^{2}\alpha_{b} + \alpha_{a}\alpha_{b}^{2})\cos(\omega) + 2\alpha_{a}\alpha_{b}\cos(2\omega) + \alpha_{a}^{2} + 2\alpha_{a}\alpha_{b}} + \alpha_{b}^{2} + \alpha_{a}^{2}\alpha_{b}^{2}$$
(F.1)

# Autocorrelation Function

$$H(z) = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha_a e^{j\theta} z^{-1} - \alpha_b e^{-j\theta} z^{-1} + \alpha_a \alpha_b z^{-2}}$$

Letting  $\theta = 0$ 

$$H(z) = \frac{1}{1 - (\alpha_{a} + \alpha_{b}) z^{-1} + \alpha_{a} \alpha_{b} z^{-2}} = \frac{z^{2}}{z^{2} - (\alpha_{a} + \alpha_{b}) z + \alpha_{a} \alpha_{b}}$$
$$|z| > |\alpha|$$

Expanding in terms of partial fractions we have:

$$H(z) = \frac{\alpha_a}{\alpha_a - \alpha_b} \cdot \frac{z}{z - \alpha_a} + \frac{\alpha_b}{\alpha_b - \alpha_a} \cdot \frac{z}{z - \alpha_b}$$

This corresponds to the impulse response

$$h(n) = \left(\frac{\alpha_{a}}{\alpha_{a}-\alpha_{b}} \cdot \alpha_{a}^{n} + \frac{\alpha_{b}}{\alpha_{b}-\alpha_{a}} \cdot \alpha_{b}^{n}\right) \cdot u(n) \quad \alpha < 1 \quad (F.2)$$

Proceeding as in Appendix D:

$$R_{Y}(\ell) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} h(n) \cdot h(n-\ell) = \sum_{n=\ell}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha_{a}^{n+1}}{\alpha_{a}^{-\alpha_{b}}} + \frac{\alpha_{b}^{n+1}}{\alpha_{b}^{-\alpha_{a}}}\right) \left(\frac{\alpha_{a}^{n+1-\ell}}{\alpha_{a}^{-\alpha_{b}}} + \frac{\alpha_{b}^{n+1-\ell}}{\alpha_{b}^{-\alpha_{a}}}\right)$$

 $(\ell \geq 0)$ 

$$R_{Y}(\ell) = \sum_{n=\ell}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{\alpha_{a}^{2n+2-\ell}}{(\alpha_{a}^{-\alpha} - \alpha_{b})^{2}} + \frac{\alpha_{a}^{n+1} \cdot \alpha_{b}^{n+1-\ell}}{(\alpha_{a}^{-\alpha} - \alpha_{b})(\alpha_{b}^{-\alpha} - \alpha_{a})} + \frac{\alpha_{a}^{n+1-\ell} \cdot \alpha_{b}^{n+1}}{(\alpha_{b}^{-\alpha} - \alpha_{a})(\alpha_{a}^{-\alpha} - \alpha_{b})} + \frac{\alpha_{b}^{2n+2-\ell}}{(\alpha_{b}^{-\alpha} - \alpha_{a})^{2}} \right] \qquad (F.3)$$

Since  $(\alpha_a - \alpha_b) = -(\alpha_b - \alpha_a)$ :

$$(\alpha_{a} - \alpha_{b})^{2} = (\alpha_{b} - \alpha_{a})^{2} = -(\alpha_{a} - \alpha_{b})(\alpha_{b} - \alpha_{a}) = -(\alpha_{b} - \alpha_{a})(\alpha_{a} - \alpha_{b})$$

So:

$$R_{y}(\ell) = \frac{1}{(\alpha_{a} - \alpha_{b})^{2}} [\alpha_{a}^{2-\ell} \sum_{n=\ell}^{\infty} \alpha_{a}^{2n} - \alpha_{a} \alpha_{b}^{1-\ell} \sum_{n=\ell}^{\infty} (\alpha_{a} \alpha_{b})^{n} - \alpha_{b} \alpha_{a}^{1-\ell} \sum_{n=\ell}^{\infty} (\alpha_{b} \alpha_{a})^{n} + \alpha_{b}^{2-\ell} \sum_{n=\ell}^{\infty} \alpha_{b}^{2n}] \quad (\ell \ge 0)$$

Continuing with the same principles and assumptions as in Appendix D, we have:

$$\sum_{n=\ell}^{\infty} \alpha^{2n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha^{2n} - \sum_{n=0}^{\ell-1} \alpha^{2n} = \frac{1}{1-\alpha^2} - \frac{1-\alpha^{2\ell}}{1-\alpha^2} = \frac{\alpha^{2\ell}}{1-\alpha^2}$$
$$\sum_{n=\ell}^{\infty} \alpha^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha^n - \sum_{n=0}^{\ell-1} \alpha^n = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} - \frac{1-\alpha^{\ell}}{1-\alpha} = \frac{\alpha^{\ell}}{1-\alpha}$$

Making the appropriate substitutions in the expression for  $R_{\rm y}(\ell)\,,$  we have:

$$R_{Y}(\ell) = \frac{1}{(\alpha_{a} - \alpha_{b})^{2}} \left[ \alpha_{a}^{2-\ell} \left( \frac{\alpha_{a}^{2\ell}}{1 - \alpha_{a}^{2}} \right) - \alpha_{a} \alpha_{b}^{1-\ell} \left( \frac{\alpha_{a}^{\ell} \alpha_{b}^{\ell}}{1 - \alpha_{a} \alpha_{b}} \right) - \alpha_{b} \alpha_{a}^{1-\ell} \left( \frac{\alpha_{a}^{\ell} \alpha_{b}^{\ell}}{1 - \alpha_{a} \alpha_{b}} \right) + \alpha_{b}^{2-\ell} \left( \frac{\alpha_{b}^{2\ell}}{1 - \alpha_{b}^{2}} \right) \quad (\ell \ge 0)$$
(F.4)

Combining terms yields the final expression:

$$\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{Y}}(l) = \frac{1}{(\alpha_{a} - \alpha_{b})^{2}} \left[ \frac{\alpha_{a}^{2+l}}{1 - \alpha_{a}^{2}} - \frac{\alpha_{a}^{l+1} \alpha_{b} + \alpha_{a} \alpha_{b}^{l+1}}{1 - \alpha_{a} \alpha_{b}} + \frac{\alpha_{b}^{2+l}}{1 - \alpha_{b}^{2}} \right]$$
(F.5)

#### APPENDIX G

GRAPHICAL RESULTS FOR THE POWER SPECTRUM AND AUTO-CORRELATION FUNCTION FOR THE FOUR POLE AUTO-REGRESSIVE MODEL (WITH TWO POLES ON THE REAL AXIS)



Power Spectrum



Autocorrelation Function







### APPENDIX H

# LAPLACIAN INVERSE FILTER FORMS





### APPENDIX I

# CONVOLUTION OF LAPALACIAN DIFFERENCE OPERATOR AND VARIOUS SIZE FIR INVERSE FILTERS

CONVOLUTION OF LAPLACIAN AND ITS 5+5 INVERSE



CONVOLUTION OF LAPLACIAN AND ITS 7x7 INVERSE



CONVOLUTION OF LAPLACIAN AND ITS 9×9 INVERSE



CONVOLUTION OF LAPLACIAN AND ITS 15+15 INVERSE





CONVOLUTION OF LAPLACIAN AND ITS 21×21 INVERSE



CONVOLUTION OF LAPLACIAN AND ITS 3×3 INVERSE

#### LIST OF REFERENCES

- 1. Box, G.E.P., and Jenkins, G.M., <u>Time Series Analysis</u>, <u>Forecasting and Control</u>, Holden-Day, 1976.
- Therrien, C.W., "Relations Between 2-D and Multichannel Linear Prediction," <u>IEEE Transactions on Acoustics</u>, <u>Speech</u>, and <u>Signal Processing</u>, Vol. ASSP-29, No. 3, June 1981.
- 3. Dudgeon, D.E., and Mersereau, R.M., <u>Multidimensional</u> <u>Digital Signal Processing</u>, Prentice-hall, Inc., 1984.
- 4. Orfanidis, S.J., <u>Optimum Signal Processing: An Intro-</u> <u>duction</u>, Macmillan Publishing Co., 1985.
- 5. Oppenheim, A.V. and Schafer, R.W., <u>Digital Signal</u> <u>Processing</u>, Prentice Hall, Inc., 1975.
- 6. Peebles, P.Z. Jr., <u>Communications System Principles</u>, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Inc., 1976.
- 7. Cadzoq, J.A., <u>Discrete Time Systems</u>, Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1973.
- 8. Gonzalez, R.C. and Wintz, P., <u>Digital Image Processing</u>, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Inc., 1977.
- 9. Weber, A.G., "USC SIPI Report 101, Image Data Base," Signal and Image Processing Institute, University of Southern California, February 1986.
- 10. Brodatz, P., <u>Textures: A Photographic Album for Artists</u> and <u>Designers</u>, <u>Dover</u>, 1966.
- 11. Modestino, J.W. and Fries, R.W., "Construction and Properties of a Useful Two-Dimensional Random Field," <u>IEEE Transactions, Information Theory</u>, Vol. IT-26, No. 1, January 1980.
- 12. Beyer, W.H., <u>CRC Standard Mathematical Tables</u>, CRC Press, Inc., 1984.

130

# INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST

		No.	Copies
1.	Defense Technical Information Center Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22304-6145		2
2.	Library, Code 0142 Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93943-5002		2
3.	Department Chairman, Code 62 Electrical and Computer Engineering Dept. Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93943-5000		1
4.	Professor Charles W. Therrien, Code 62Ti Electrical and Computer Engineering Dept. Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 99343-5000		3
5.	Professor Roberto Cristi, Code 62Cx Electrical and Computer Engineering Dept. Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93943-5000		3
6.	LT Steven C. Rathmanner 1453 N. 52nd Ave. Pensacola, Florida 32506		3
7.	Director, Research Administration, Code 012 Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93943-5000		1
8.	Hamdy El-Shaer SMC #1616 Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93943-5000		1





•




DUDLLY KNOX LIBPARY NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL MONTEREY, CALIFORNIA 23943-5002

Thesis R24345 Rathmanner c.l Image texture generation using autoregressive integrated moving average (ARIMA) models.

Thèsis	
R24345	Rathmanner
c.1	Image texture genera-
	tion using autoregressive
	integrated moving average
	(ARIMA) models.

